CERTIFIED COPY

OPEN HOUSE AND PUBLIC MEETING

OMEGA CHEMICAL CORPORATION SUPERFUND SITE
PRESENTATION AND PUBLIC COMMENT
AUGUST 31, 2010
WHITTIER COMMUNITY CENTER
7360 WASHINGTON AVENUE
WHITTIER, CALIFORNIA 90602



THE HUNTINGTON BUILDING, SUITE 100 1450 WEST COLORADO BLVD. PASADENA, CA 91105 GSA APPROVED VENDOR-GS-07F-0169V 626/792-6777

FAX 626/792-8760

E-Mail : reports@huntingtoncr.com Website: http://www.huntingtoncr.com

REPORTED BY: ZAIRA JIMENEZ CSR NO. 13283

1				
2				
3				
4	OPEN HOUSE AND PUBLIC MEETING			
5				
6	OMEGA CHEMICAL CORPORATION SUPERFUND SITE			
7	PRESENTATION AND PUBLIC COMMENT			
8	AUGUST 31, 2010			
9	WHITTIER COMMUNITY CENTER			
10	7360 WASHINGTON AVENUE			
11	WHITTIER, CALIFORNIA 90602			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17	·			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

```
APPEARANCES:
 1
 2
     Environmental Protection Agency:
     U.S. EPA REGION 9
 3
          LYNDA DESCHAMBAULT, Remedial Project Manager
     75 Hawthorne Street
 4
     SFD-7-1
 5
     San Francisco, California 94105
     (415) 947-4183
 6
     Office of Community Involvement
 7
     BY: JACQUELINE A. LANE, Community Involvement Coordinator
     75 Hawthorne Street
     SFD-6-3
     San Francisco, California 94105
 9
     (415) 972-3236
10
11
     Also Present:
12
     Frederick Schauffler, Chief Cleanup Section 4
13
     Steve Berninger, Attorney
14
     Glenn Bruck, Hydrologist
15
     Matt Salazar, RPM
16
     Tom Perina, Project Manager CH2MHill
17
     Kelly Freeman, EPA Contractor
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CH2MHILL MEETING AUGUST 31, 2010

1		INDEX	
2	SPEAKER		PAGE
3	MS. LANE		4, 16, 19, 32, 35, 38 40, 43, 45, 47, 49, 50
4			52, 54, 56, 57, 58
5	MS. DESCHAMBAULT		7, 19, 32, 35, 36, 38
6	ne. Bischinizhedi		42, 43, 44, 48, 49, 50 51, 54, 55, 56
7	MR. PERINA		35, 52, 53, 54
8	MR. SCHAUFFLER		38, 39, 40, 44, 46, 47
9	MR. ROWLEY		56
10	MR. VINATIERI		58
11	MR. BERINGER		6
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			•
22			
23	·	,	•
24			
25			<u></u>

WHITTIER, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, AUGUST 31, 2010 7:01 p.m.

MS. LANE: Good evening, everyone. I first want to thank you, each of you, for taking time to come out tonight. Your involvement with our cleanup at the Omega Chemical Corporation Superfund Site is appreciated. We are here today to give a presentation on a proposed plan for the groundwater portion or what we call the Operable Unit 2 of the site. The proposed plan is to address groundwater contamination outside of Operable Unit 1, which is downgradient from the former Omega property.

My name is Jackie Lane, and I am your community involvement coordinator for this site. Our regional offices are located in San Francisco. And I hope you were able to sign in, which I tried to make sure everyone did. That's a record of who came tonight, and also, I try to check it against our mailing list to make sure everybody is on it and gets future information.

The proposed plan fact sheet along with the presentation for tonight has our agenda on top. It also -- the fact sheet itself has information on how to get in touch with myself and the project manager for the Operable Unit 2. In addition to our presentation, of course, we are here to record verbal comments, which will be done later.

I wanted to let you know that we did get a formal request to extend the comment period, so now our comment period will be from August 23rd through October 21st.

August 23rd through October 21st. It's required that we have a court reporter with us tonight to actually record the presentation itself, any clarification questions that you make, and then, of course, the actual comment period.

Let's see. We hope that you will be able to hold your questions until the project manager is completed with our presentation, and then we'll give more than enough time for you to ask clarification questions. I will be facilitating the formal comment period, and how that will work is that I will just call people up as they raise their hand for you to come up and make your formal comment. At that time, I would like you to state your name, and if you are representing anyone, to do that also, and to spell your name.

The comments that are received tonight and anything that is received by mail, either by fax, e-mail or written, needs to be postmarked by October 21st to be considered and will be addressed in what we call the responsiveness summary. This will be -- will accompany our Record of Decision. This document -- this approved document actually memorializes EPA-selected plans for the site.

We will also do a notice in the Whittier Daily

News when this formal document becomes available, and we

normally house it in the site depository, which is your

Whittier Public Library here in town. We also do a fact

sheet that summarizes our decision, and we will send it to

the site's mailing list.

Are there any questions about that?

Great. I'd like to take time to introduce a couple of people before we bring our speaker up. We have with us today Fred Schauffler. Is he in the room? There he is (indicating). He's our section chief for Section 4, which the Omega site is under. We have Steven -- I always mess his name up -- Beringer?

MR. BERNINGER: Berninger.

MS. LANE: He's our site attorney. And then we have a new team member named Matt Salazar who you will be seeing more of as time goes by. We have Tom Perina and Kelly Freeman, who was helping me outside, who is our EPA contractor. And we have Lynda Deschambault, who is our remedial project -- remedial project manager. Her presentation today shouldn't go longer than 30 minutes. And then if we -- when we get to the clarification questions, I do want you guys to speak loudly and clearly for the court reporter, as I mentioned before.

A few logistics: There are bathroom outside this

door to the left, as well as the water fountains for your convenience. At the end of the presentation, we'll have time for the audience to ask more questions. Depending on how long it takes for us to get through the presentation and any questions you have, we may have a little break between that and the comment period, or we may just go straight through, and that all depends.

And then, also, we'll stay afterwards for any additional questions and continue our availability session after everything is over. So without further ado, I'd like to ask Lynda to come up and start her presentation. Thank you.

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: Thank you, Jackie. I'm Lynda.

So I'm going to try to get this done in 30 minutes here. I'm -- I tend to be a fast talker. I'll do my best to get all the information out to you in a timely, succinct manner. What we have to cover tonight is some site background and history on the Omega Chemical Corporation Site. I also want to go over with you a summary of what is called the "RI/FS." "RI" stands for the Remedial Investigation, and "FS," the feasibility studies. So I'll explain to you what those are and what the findings were.

Tonight we are going to talk about EPA's preferred alternative. After we do the RI/FS, we look at the various alternatives that were chosen, and we pick the preferred

alternative. That is what you see in the fact sheet in the proposed plan that you've been -- that you've received.

And we'll talk some more about the next steps, as Jackie clearly explained, and the public comment period is until October 21st.

Jackie also introduced our team. There is a few folks that weren't here tonight. Myself and Matt Salazar are RPMs; Steve, again, is our attorney; Linda Ketellapper does a lot of work with case development; Glenn Bruck is a hydrogeologist; and Jackie, you just met. I don't think DTSC made it. I haven't seen -- oh, there's Julie. DTSC is here. That's the Cal EPA Department of Toxic Substances Control.

I think you all know where we are right now, but this is just a little orientation of the -- where the Omega Chemical Site is located. Here between Highway 605 and Highway 5, you have this map, again, in your handout. It shows how the Omega 2 plume, which is the groundwater contamination that extends generally downgradient from the source area. So Operable Unit 1, which you'll see here, we did a managed site EPA. It's a term you are going to hear a lot tonight. "OU1" is Operable Unit 1 and OU2.

Operable Unit 1 is where the former Omega Chemical Corporation Site operated, and it's right along Whittier Boulevard.

And then Operable Unit 2 is the groundwater plume that extends four-and-a-half miles downgradient, about one-and-a-half miles wide. And it is advancing it to at least 540 feet per year is what we estimate.

So that gives you a little orientation of what we are talking about. You can see the area is quite industrialized. Within that plume there are a number of other facilities that contribute to the contamination that has extended downgradient from Operable Unit 1.

Just a little bit of site history on the Omega facility location. In the '30s, it was primarily agricultural land, and then in the '60s, they did some dye making and stamping machine shop. There was an automobile conversion there for a while. Bachelor Chemical. So a lot of industrial uses started coming in. And then from '76 to 1991 is when Omega Chemical operated there -- a solvent recovery system there. And from 1991 until now it's been used intermittently for various light industry and then the auto body shop there at the facility.

During the years that Omega Chemical Corporation was there, it was 1976 to 1991 when they operated. And what they did is they were a chemical recycling and reformulation facility. A number of facilities that had byproducts and waste took their chemicals to this facility to be handled and disposed of per EPA's -- per a

preventative facility. A number of entities brought their materials here to be disposed of properly at the Omega Chemical facility. And they primarily handled chlorinated solvents. A lot of manufacturing processes require chlorinated solvents to degrease, remove oil from parts while they are processing them.

There also is a lot of Freon, chlorinated solvents that are used as refrigerants. And basically, over -- we estimate that over 18,000 tons of hazardous substances were brought to this facility. That doesn't mean 18,000 tons were spilled, but 18,000 tons of chemicals were processed through this facility during those years. Unfortunately, many of them were mismanaged and released either through corroded drums or poor management practices.

So again, I just want to explain a little bit more about the Omega site and what EPA does to call operable units, and we have divided the Omega Chemical site for a matter of handling the sites in what we call three operable units.

Operable Unit 1, as I briefly explained, is the actual area where the facility was located. And what we have there, we have the groundwater, as well as the Vadose zone, which is the soil above the groundwater that had been contaminated and needed to be cleaned up right near the former Omega property.

OU2, as I said, is the contamination downgradient, and within that, there is a number of other facilities that have commingled with that plume. And the plume does impact -- has impacted five water supply wells. Those water supply wells continue to meet drinking water standards, but there have been some detections of chemicals at them, and we'll talk a little bit about that tonight.

And Operable Unit 3, as some of you are here to talk about, and it's not heavily on our agenda. I tried to talk to some of you individually before, and I know that Jackie and others were giving you materials, but Operable Unit 3 is the indoor air impacts that occurred at or near the facility. So right around Operable Unit 1 where the soils and water are heavily contaminated, we have a poster back here that explains the mechanism of vapor intrusion of how those chemicals can actually evaporate and get into some buildings when you are close to the source.

Now, vapor intrusion is not an issue over Operable Unit 2, and I'll talk with you briefly about the human health risk assessments and the conclusions of that. But Operable Unit 3, for purposes of EPA, is how we're handling or naming the area near the Omega plant -- the former Omega facility.

Again, the focus tonight is on Operable Unit 2 and the groundwater. There are posters in the back -- some of

these are hard to read -- we put back there for you. If you didn't get a chance to check them out before the meeting, please go ahead and do so afterwards. Anytime now or after the meeting, I'm happy to talk with you one on one about any of those.

These outlines show what we have done already at the site related to the Operable Unit 2 groundwater. We --again, I talk about -- oops, sorry -- about how the Omega Chemical Corporation Site operated through '91. Around 1984 and 1988, the Department of Health Services did issue some orders to them. They were concerned about cleaning up the site.

Again, in '91 and 1995, the Department of Toxic Substances also started pursuing the owner of the Omega Facility. And it was in 1995 that the EPA actually issued an order to a number of parties to remove -- there were over 3,000 drums, approximately 3000 drums on the site that were in a state of disrepair and leaking and corroded.

From '98 to 2007, investigations began, and I'll talk to you a little bit about what is involved there, but a lot of field studies to determine the nature and extent, to determine how far this material had spread and how far it had gone. And the results of that was the determination that it's four-and-a-half miles long and about one-and-a-half miles wide.

an interim groundwater pump-and-treat system has been installed and has been operating since July of last year. And the purpose of that system is to keep the heavily contaminated groundwater in OU1 contained to OU1, and not continue to migrate downgradient and continue to add additional chemicals and contamination, heavy concentrations downgradient OU2. That has been up and operating and has removed a lot of the contamination, but its purpose is to contain the levels at that area.

And then now, 2010, is where we are now, the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study. We love acronyms. I tried my best to remove what I could out of here, but RI/FS is the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study. It was issued in 2010, and that was to determine the best way to clean up the contamination of the site.

And here we are tonight with the proposed plan, which is EPA's proposal for how to contain the OU2 groundwater plume. The restoration remedy will come later. What we are talking about tonight is just containing that plume.

As I mentioned, it's estimated to be moving -- I'd say it's at least 500 feet per year. So our goal is to keep that plume from moving.

Just a brief thing on the Superfund process.

People ask, you know, "What takes so long?" "Where are you

at?" "What's next?" "Where are you going?" The Superfund processes start at the site discovery, which I told you.

It was early when the state called in our assistance and asked us -- I keep pushing that. I'll get used to this.

The site discovery is when we actually find that the contaminations exists. Then it actually goes on the National Priorities List or the Superfund List is sometimes what you hear it called. The Remedial Investigation investigates, the Feasibility Study then looks at alternatives, and here we are tonight for Operable Unit 2 during our Public Comment Period.

After that, we have a Record of Decision where we actually select the remedy based on input from these meetings and public comment. We go into a design of a system and ultimately remedial action of the system.

Operable Unit 1 is a little further along. As I mentioned, they've already designed the pump-and-treat system for the water, and there is a remedy that should be starting, and we expect the work planned in the next few weeks for the soil cleanup in OU1. The OU1 had soil and water; OU2 is the groundwater plume that we are talking about tonight.

So what is the RI/FS that just was released recently? That is the way that we characterize the site. Extensive amount of field investigation, sampling, file review is done to determine how far the plume has gone.

And we also do -- someone was asking me earlier tonight -the Human Health and Risk Assessment is also done to
determine what the exposure and risk could be from this -from this contamination.

The Feasibility Study is then done to develop a number of alternatives and takes a look at all of the alternatives that we could feasibly consider for cleaning up the site. Again, this one is to contain the plume.

And then from there, we formulate a number of alternatives and we find the most promising ones, and then the EPA selects our preferred proposed plan.

So our plan is complete. It was just completed this month. It's online. It's a lovely, thick document, probably about maybe 10 to 12 inches, so a lot of data has gone in there over the years. So, just a quick summary of the investigation. It was -- more than 1600 groundwater samples were collected and a number of file reviews. We worked with the Regional Water Quality Board and DTSC as individual sites, 20 sites within OU2 that had a lot of file information, research, their own sampling. So we coordinated with those two state agencies to get that information. Again, that's the Department of Toxic Substance Control, and this is the Regional Water Quality Control Board.

A lot of field activities, a lot of aquifer

.22

testing, soil testing was performed, the Human Health and Ecological Risk Evaluation, and then we continued to do work to identify other sources. As I said, we identified a couple of dozen sources that commingle within our plume, and we continue to research others that might be out there.

So just to give you an idea of the scope, I put this slide in to let you know that there is -- EPA has put in more than 30 wells, and OPOG, I mentioned that there was a settlement with a number of parties to remove the drums. Those settling parties later became the Omega Chemical Potentially Responsible Parties organized group. "PRP" is a term we use here a lot for "Potentially Responsible Parties." They're the ones that have contributed to the contamination. So they formed a group. Some of them are here this evening.

opog, under the direction of EPA and various settlements, has 14 wells. So we have a lot of wells out there that are being sampled twice a year, and some of them have been sampled since 1999 and 2002, just to give you an idea of the depth of the extent of the information that we've collected. And at the individual facilities, the ones that the state agency has and some other facilities have over another hundred wells, as well. EPA does a lot of quality control, duplicates, replicates, to make sure that all that field data is peer reviewed, checked, and

1 validated.

This slide gives you a little bit of a snapshot.

It's on your fact sheet. This one is hard to read, but if you look at your fact sheet, there is a list of contaminants of concern. So in this OU2 groundwater plume, what chemicals are there that are of a concern to us? And the list on the -- this lists the names of the chemicals that we are concerned about that exceed contamination notification levels. This shows you the maximum concentration that has been found at any time, and this shows the drinking water standard for comparison purposes of how contaminated the OU2 is. And, again, that's all in your handout, or you're free to pick one up if you didn't grab one.

So the extent of the groundwater that was determined from the remedial investigation, again, is on a diagram on the back, and also a handout in your fact sheet. This shows concentration levels. What EPA does is we determine the outer boundary based on the action level. The five parts per billion or micrograms per liter is parts per billion. So the outer border of this Operable Unit 2, this divides Operable Unit 2 groundwater plume that some four-and-a-half miles. At this area, it's at the action level of 5 PPB. Outside of that level meets standards.

This also shows there is some concentrated hot

zones within the plume. This one is at a hundred parts per million, and these two areas here, and a couple of other ones are at high hot concentrations of up to 500.

So, again, this process -- and how we determine this is based on in-field investigations, studies that are done out in the field, gather extensive groundwater samples, and it does show that the concentrations continue to be high here, with diminishing, as you can see, as you move away from the site. What EPA has done after gathering that data is then done what is called a "groundwater model," a computer model to fit the data that we know in the field to help us explain and work with the situation that is there at the Operable Unit 1 groundwater plume.

So the Human Health Risk Assessment was done, and this is for Operable Unit 2. This is for the groundwater. So the groundwater extends from about 40 feet below surface down to 200. This isn't water that's at the surface, and this isn't the water that you are drinking. This is the water that is flowing underneath these properties, these cities. Is there a human health or risk from this groundwater plume that is below us?

And what they do is they look at what is the potential for dermal contact on the skin, ingestion to drink it, or can it be -- would it vaporize and you actually would inhale it. So they looked also at possible

receptors. It's important to look at not just adults, but vulnerable populations like children, because they could respond differently. So the Human Health Risk looked at the potential of relative exposure, the typical exposure recipients, and found that there was no risk for vapor intrusion from the OU2 groundwater.

There is some Operable Unit 1 exposure -- I know a

There is some Operable Unit 1 exposure -- I know a number of you are here on Operable Unit 1 vapor intrusion issues that have occurred, but that is at the Operable Unit 1 area near the facility where there is some high levels of contaminated soils and groundwater. As you move away from the site, Operable Unit 2, Human Health and Risk Assessment found that there was no potential for vapor intrusion.

Do you want to hold questions, or --

MS. LANE: Do you have a question?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm just wondering what is Unit
1 -- what's the mileage?

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: I have it up on my mini HP back there. It just extends from Whittier Boulevard -- it's a block, a city block-and-a-half. At the very -- where the building is and about ten other buildings around it. I'm just going to be very general.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: But approximately, it's just that area, we'll say a couple city blocks versus the

four-and-a-half mile plume of groundwater.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So like Whittier and Washington?

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: Yes. The corner there, Whittier and Washington. Actually, it's not even all the way over to Washington, just past Putnam and at the Omega site. I'm happy to show you later and take a look at that. It's a little bit confusing. I understand the question. So as you move away from the site, it's the groundwater plume that's moving away. The soils are no longer saturated. And so looking over the OU2 plume, there is no vapor intrusion issues. We tested at a residential facility over there called Whispering Fountains and found that there was no potential for exposure.

But we also did not find that there was any potential for dermal contact. There's not any opportunities for people to bathe in this water. The water that comes into your tap comes from a different aquifer. There's no exposure to ecological receptors, endangered species in the area. The only significant risk would be if you were to drink this water. If for some reason you drop a well and were to drink it, but that is not the water that you are drinking. The water you are drinking comes from a deeper aquifer, and it is two different levels.

So what you have is we have the contamination from Omega and the upper aquifer from about 40 feet of the water

table to about 200. In between you have this semi-nonpermeable layer that separates another aquifer that starts at 200 feet and goes below. The drinking water in the area typically is screened down here (indicating), and that's where the water is coming from.

However, we have found that some wells -- five wells we know have been screened starting right in this 200th, sort of the gray area that have had -- been impacted, have been found to have some hits of contamination. Those have well head treatment to meet the State of California and federal law, have to meet drinking water standards and continue to meet drinking water standards.

Some of them have had well head treatment systems on them since the 1980s. So the water you've been drinking is the water down here, is treated to drinking water standards. The Omega OU2 plume is this upper gradient here (indicating).

So after we do the Remedial Investigation, figure out where the material is, how far it is, how far down, how far along, we look at what's called the Feasibility Study, and we look at what we can do to -- what types of technology that are available to deal with the situation that we've identified, what are the various mixes, and what would be the most promising strategy to actually deal with

1 the situation.

It goes through a number of steps. It looks at what are the remedial action objectives, what are the cleanup technologies, what are the alternatives, what happens when we compare them one to another, and then EPA selects our preferred alternative.

So that's the Feasibility Study, and the focus, again, tonight is to present and explain our preferred alternatives for Operable Unit 2. There was an RI/FS that was done for Operable Unit 1 and dealing with the soils and water in that small couple, few blocks. I don't want to -- I say a few blocks just to be covered, depending upon how a block is defined, but tonight we're looking at what happened with the Operable Unit 2.

I'd like to just explain the objectives of this containment remedy, this interim containment remedy. What are we trying to achieve with what we are proposing to you tonight? I'm going to explain to you what we're proposing. What's important to know that what we are trying to achieve with that is -- our first is to make sure that we don't get any human exposure from these chemicals. We don't want it to continue to spread; we don't want it to get into that lower aquifer; we don't want it to move wider, further downstream, or down below, as well.

So our goal is to protect any more human exposure

or any more drinking water wells from getting in contact with the material. We also want to keep that from spreading in all directions, which is the second objective. And then ultimately, we also want to make sure -- I mentioned how there is some hot spots in there. We don't want those hot spots spreading even further. We want to contain those hot spots, and we don't want them getting into the lower concentration areas.

So those are our objectives. So we are looking at what technologies and what alternatives do we have to keep this Operable Unit 2 plume from impacting more wells, from spreading, and keeping the high -- we wouldn't want those high concentration areas to go any further.

So to do that, our contractor, Hill (phonetic), worked with us, and we developed a number of alternatives.

And all of the alternatives that were considered in the Feasibility Study were based on pump and treat, a groundwater treatment system, where we take the water, pass it through systems to clean it up.

There are other ways to clean up sites, in-situ treatments and things. Those are not feasible at Omega because the plume is so large. At small contamination zones, you can put up reactive barrier walls and things, but when you are talking about a plume of this size, the only alternative that we've left in in our process was

looking at the groundwater extraction. And the in-situ treatment technologies were screened out for consideration for what we needed to do at the site.

Once we looked at groundwater treatment, what you do is look at, well, what are we going to do with that water? The Southern California water is, you know, liquid gold down here. It's -- all the drinking water in the water basin is considered drinking water. And it's important that all of the end uses would comply with reusing that material and keeping it here in the water basin.

So we looked at the opportunity of taking that water and using it for drinking water after it was cleaned up. We can use it as reclaimed water, industrial water. We also looked at reinjecting it into the deeper aquifer, cleaning it up, and then reinjecting it. And we also looked at the opportunity of discharging it to the spreading basins. Just off of -- north of 605, there is a spreading basin area where a lot of water -- it's a large wetland-almost area where the materials could be released and allowed to return to the aquifer in that manner.

So all of them, whatever the situation, would require pump and treat, and would require multiple treatment technologies because you saw the list of chemicals that are in there. Each of those chemicals needs

a different type of technology to clean them up. Similiar if you've got your filter on your sink at home. Even when you go to Home Depot, you see you have a number of choices of what they'll remove. Well, we are talking about industrial site systems where you have to have a treatment chain of a number of things to make sure that we've cleaned them all up, and we've got to get them all to drinking water standards.

So we kept a number of technologies in there, so any of the end uses would go through a number of treatments, multiple technologies, to remove multiple chemicals. Whether it's organic, inorganic, metals, salts, all go through -- all of the alternatives considered would go through all of those treatments.

So the alternatives that we looked at are these six. So when you look at the Feasibility Study, what it does is it has an analysis of six alternatives that EPA considered, and we have a number of criteria we consider those against. The first one that we are required to do is no action. We do nothing. So the first alternative we use as our baseline, what if we do nothing? There is an analysis done to determine the no-action alternative.

Then what we look at is what would happen if we just extracted down at the toe, down there near Imperial at the tip of the toe where it's only 5 parts per billion, and

just pulled from down there and just treated the water
there for drinking water standards.

Then we looked at the alternative, well, what if we extracted throughout the plume, plume-wide extraction? So alternatives 3, 4, 5, and 6 looked at extracting through the plume, not just putting a pumping well down at the very toe, but putting in wells throughout the plume, and then treating them in the different ways.

So one would be plume-wide extraction with the reclaimed water as the end-use, plume-wide extraction with the reinjection as the end-use, plume-wide extraction with discharging to those spreading basins, and then plume-wide extraction with the drinking water. So we lay these out, we take a look at them, and then what EPA does is they go through nine criteria.

we do this with all sites. We do these -- we've done the RI; we've done the FS; we've got our alternatives; we analyze them against nine criteria. Two of them are must-do criteria. They have to meet the fact that they're overall protective of human health -- that is EPA's mission and goal -- and they have to have compliance with other regulatory applicable relevance and appropriate requirements that already exist.

So we have to meet, you know, the Endangered

CH2MHILL MEETING AUGUST 31, 2010

Species Act, or we have to meet the Drinking Water Act that 1 2 is set by federal, and we have to meet the California Act. Then we look at other criteria. We look at how long -- is this going to be effective for long term? Is this going to 4 reduce the toxicity of these chemicals? Is this going to 5 reduce the volume? We look at its short-term 6 7 effectiveness, and we look at how feasible it is to implement. Is this something that can actually be done? We then look at cost. And the last two are looking at 9 state acceptance, and what we are doing tonight is 10 community acceptance. 11 12 We have already received state concurrence on our proposed plan, and we are looking tonight for community 13 input on our proposed plan. So what we do in FS, I told 14 you we had six alternatives that we chose. Everything from 15 the no action; No. 1, No. 2 with the leading edge with 16 17 drinking water, but just at the toe of the plume and nowhere else we would be pumping the water. These other 18 19 three are with extraction throughout the plume, a number of 20 wells throughout the four-and-a-half mile plume with the 21 different end uses. This is for reclaimed water, this is for reinjecting back into the ground, this will be going to 22 the spreading basin, and this one to drinking water 23 24 (indicating). 25 This is very difficult to read, but you have it in

1.0

your fact sheet, and we also have it back there on a poster, which is why we put it on a poster. I'm going to walk through, but you can follow along with me on the chart and your fact sheet. So across the top, we have the six alternatives, and along the side we have those nine criteria. Again, the two that they must meet are overall protection of human health and compliance with ARARs.

Well, if we don't do anything, that's not going to meet those requirements. So we have this that says no action is not going to meet the requirements. Neither would leading edge, because what we are trying to do, as I explained to you, is not only contain the plume, but we don't want those hot spots from spreading and contaminating currently lower concentration water.

So we don't feel that -- wait, I skipped one.

Back up. Leading edge with drinking water. That would not be protective because it would just be pumping at the toe, and it would not do anything about keeping the other hot spot materials from moving down. So we ranked that as "no." So those two alternatives are not EPA-preferred options because they don't meet our threshold criteria.

If we look at the reclaimed water end use, right now, there's already not a demand for some of this water.

There needs to be -- somebody needs to want this water, and if they don't take the water, our system would at times be

shut down. The system we are proposing is a 2000-gallon-per-minute system, and it needs to be operating in order to keep this system contained. We want to stop that migration, the 540 feet per year. And if we didn't have somebody taking the water, the system would be down part of the time and we wouldn't achieve our goal. So this one is a "yes" only, pending upon as long as we could find some sufficient demand in the area, that somebody would want this water.

The next ones are the plume-wide extraction with reinjection, and those would meet the requirements as well. There's the other two, spreading basin and drinking water. What we then do is we look at the long-term effectiveness and these other criteria explained and we do see the volume short term. And we look at each of them for that. So we rank them as either "yes," "no," and "medium," "high," "low," and determine which one is which, if it fits with criteria and comes out highest on the list.

And the long-term effectiveness would not be effective for the "no action." It would only be medium at best for Alternative 1 if we just extract at the toe and nowhere else. All the others, we feel, are about equal. They would all be pretty high. Plume-wide extraction would be a good way to effectively and permanently contain the plume.

We look at the reducing of toxicity and mobility of the volume. Well, it doesn't apply if we take no action. We are not reducing anything.

For the leading edge with the drinking water end-use it would be a medium, because it would be just pulling at the toe and not throughout the plume. So you wouldn't be getting the secondary benefit of removing some mass in contamination.

The plume-wide extraction with the reclaimed water, because we are not sure if it would actually be pumping all the time, is also ranked medium. And, again, the other three alternatives we think would be good alternatives for reducing the volume, for reducing the toxicity.

Short-term effectiveness, they are about equal for, you know, what we would need to do to get it up and running and effective. The implementability is varied a little bit. The one that's low here is the reclaimed drinking water because it takes some effort to find someone to take this water. As we mentioned, there is not a lot of demand right now. So whether or not it could actually be implemented to be successful, yes, which would be that they have year-round demand for the water might rank that one lower.

And then we look at the cost of these six

alternatives and compare them to one another. And there would, obviously, be no cost for doing nothing, but the leading edge extraction with the drinking water end use, you look at the capital investment, the ongoing operation costs, and we compare those across the table at about 53.6 million. And then the total of the reclaimed water is a little bit higher for determining agreements.

Then we look at the reinjection. Reinjection actually has some implications, because you don't want to take the water that is now contaminated and put it into that lower aquifer that is not contaminated. There is some regulatory issues, some regulatory concerns with doing that.

As I showed you in the picture, the lower aquifer is actually where the drinking water is. So there would be some regulatory requirements, and the actual standards for reinjecting are even higher than for some of the other alternatives, so they require even more extensive treatment.

The spreading basin gets pretty expensive because it's far away. I don't know if any of you know where it is. It's off the 605 freeway, and the logistics of trying to pipe that distance and carry heavy water that distance, and then the cost for the drinking water end use.

So what EPA does is it takes all these six

alternatives, these nine criteria, lays them out, and what
we determined based on that chart, as you saw, is that the
alternative 6 really seems to meet most of the criteria.

It certainly meets our mandatory threshold requirements.

All the others also are medium or are high, and it meets
all of our goals. It meets the goal to contain it, it
meets the goal for the hot spots not to be migrating, and
it meets the goal from it spreading.

So it's protective of human health; it keeps the water from further degrading; it protects the wells that are currently clean as well. It would stop it from moving any further downgradient to affecting potentially other wells in the future.

And it's a beneficial reuse of water. As I mentioned, in this area, all the water in this area is considered potential drinking water, and it needs to be reused, and that's a requirement of the central basin.

What is my time exactly?

MS. LANE: Thirty-two.

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: So this is the alternative description that is on the poster in the back. And as I mentioned, it would be plume-wide extraction. So this is conceptual only in design, but there would be some pump and treat -- some wells, that would pump in this area just to the south of the hot spot area, and some down here also to

the south of this hot spot area (indicating). And then, again, we would pump down at the toe.

So it would be, in general, three general areas of where the water would be pumped. It would be pumped back up to some groundwater treatment plant. No location actually has been chosen at this time. That water would then get a connection into the drinking water system, and there would be some waste brine that would also need to be disposed of.

The water that we'll be pumping is very high in dissolved solids. So as you clean the water through these various systems, there would be a waste brine. So you see a connection there to the waste brine pipeline, is what it says here, for the waste. And then the bulk of the water -- I don't know, 80 percent of the water would return to the drinking water system as drinking water. It could be blended with drinking water is probably likely what would happen.

And we have talked with some of the drinking water purveyors/companies in the area, and there has been some interest. One of the comments that was made to us the other day is that it would be a lot cheaper than importing water to do that.

So, again, that's also a little difficult to read, but you can take a look back there afterwards. So that's

where EPA is at. We've been through this long process with the RI/FS. We've been through this process of reviewing the alternatives. We've picked our best alternatives -- our preferred alternatives. And we're on our criteria nine tonight. We're here to hear from you what -- your comments that will be formally recorded and formally responded to.

So the 60-day comment period now -- it was 30. It has been extended. So as Jackie explained the process, you can do it tonight, you can do it in writing, or you can do it by fax. We factor your comments that we receive from you up until October 21st into our remedy until what we decide what we're going to do to contain this groundwater plume.

Ultimately, we sign a Record of Decision, and the Record of Decision is actually going to include a response to all of the public comments that we receive. And that will be available online and in various repositories. And then, ultimately, we look to implement the remedy, to get to work to keep this plume from moving, from spreading, to meet our objectives. To do that, we either negotiate with private parties, or we could use enforcement actions to order parties that aren't willing to settle with us.

So you can comment later tonight. So there is a slide, and it's also on your fact sheet, about where you can submit your comments. This is my contact information

and phone number and fax that rings direct to my desk. 1 Happy to take your calls any time. And the RI/FS is 2 actually next door at the public library, along with some 3 of the other historical materials are stored here in the 4 neighborhood as well as online, which is probably the best 5 and easiest way to access it, and that Web site is also on 6 7 your fact sheet. So that is my presentation. We were going to take 8 any clarifying comments about my presentation first, if we 9 could try to keep those separate from your formal or public 10 11 comments that you want reported. If there are any things that I presented that you would like me to further explain 12 or go over a little bit better. 13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: On the piping from your pumps, 14 from your wells, to the treatment, are those pipes 15 double-walled or single-walled? 16 MS. DESCHAMBAULT: Well, the system needs to be fully 17 I guess my contractor is here tonight, and so is 18 Mike, or Mike was. 19 What types of pipes are typically run? 20 The presumption would be double-walled. 21 MR. PERINA: The presumption, and for the costing 22 MS. DESCHAMBAULT: 23 was done, would be for the double-walled piping. 24 MS. LANE: Can I ask you guys to speak loudly, because

the court reporter is trying to hear what you are saying

25

1 | and you don't have a mic.

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: That's a good question, one I hadn't asked before. Anybody else have any good questions? Yes.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Could you explain a little more about the 20 additional sources that are contributing to the plume? Who are they? Are they all downgrade from Omega?

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: Most of them are downgradient or near the facility. They are all listed in the Remedial Investigation, the one that we -- ones we have identified so far. So imagine this groundwater from one facility moving downgradient, but this is a very industrial area. There are other source areas. Some of them have been identified by name, and some of them have been listed generically in the RI. There is a section in the RI. I can help point you to them if you are interested in who those are that have been named by name. Some have not been identified, and there is an investigation still going on.

What that means is just that there is other spills beside Omega, there are other hot spots that have had spills. Some are not the same chemicals as Omega. So if you have a chrome plater downgradient that is contributing chromium that is now commingled with the Omega plume, so now, not only do we have to treat the contaminated solvents, the chlorinated solvents, the Freon, but we'll

have also have to make sure we treat the chromium and hex chrome that result from the source area.

And those source areas, many of them already have some action that is going on, either by our state stakeholders, either by the Department of Toxic Substances or Regional Water Quality Board. Not all of them. Some of them actually still need to be investigated. That's an investigation that is going to continue probably for some time.

It's difficult to identify all of the ones that maybe have polluted within this OU2 that dashed-line boundary, but I think we've identified 24 now. I think it's up to 24 source areas that are contributing to the plume.

There is also some oil production companies

downstream in Santa Fe Springs at Cenco, as well as the oil

field reclamation, OFR. So they're contributing

hydrocarbons, oil, byproducts. And again, those weren't

from the Omega facility initially, but because they are

commingling, they are a source that's commingling with our

source, we'll also have to clean up those contaminants as

well when we pump the water.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is that Powerine, the old Powerine?

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: Yes, exactly. So those are just

some examples of various source areas, and I can help and talk to you afterwards and show you the section in the RI where you can find that.

MS. LANE: Any other questions?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I wanted to ask about one of your nine evaluation criteria. You talked about state confirmation or state acceptance, getting state acceptance, and you only listed DTSC, but even in your preferred alternatives, you have drinking water end use which you would then also need DPH approval.

Could you tell me about that step in your process?
Why is it just DTSC that has concurred with your
alternative?

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: Well, DTSC is our lead agency on this for the state requirements, for the California state rules and regulations versus federal. Within that, there are -- under their jurisdiction -- maybe Fred could help me out here -- there is a number of other agencies.

MR. SCHAUFFLER: So for every Superfund site, there is the lead agency, which in this case is EPA. There is the supporting agency, which in this case is the state. The state designates one agency as a support agency, DTSC, and that's who we use as a point of contact to tell us what does the state think about this.

We rely upon DTSC to go talk to all of these other

Board, Department of Public Health, anybody else at the state level with an interest or a stake in the outcome -- and then bring that back to us. So we do attend just one point of contact to talk to. We let DTSC resolve any differences within the state itself, if there are any. So we don't get put in that box. That's why you only see DTSC up there, but it really is DTSC, on behalf of the State of California, the representation of the state concurs.

And they concur on the proposed plan stage, we get to the remedy selection. We also are met by the state to see if the state concurs with our remedy selection or not.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can I ask a follow-up question for that? So by what you are saying, then, are you then saying that DTSC has already made contact with the other sister agencies as, such as EPA, and they are okay with it?

MR. SCHAUFFLER: DTSC. We have also talked to some other agencies, though we talked to DPH. In this case, for example, DPH has regulations that apply to when you use a water source, the water supply. Implementation would have to follow that policy requirement, so the 9705 policy. So there is that whole 12-step process about how you get from identifying your source to actually getting a permit to use it as a drinking water supply. So those requirements would come into play here in terms of remedies.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: But that would be at a later 1 2 time? Right. Right. We talked to DPH about MR. SCHAUFFLER: 3 this, you know, "Is it possible to even consider"? 4 And the answer is, "Yes, but you've got to get 5 through the 9705 processes to get to the end point." 6 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Understood. MS. LANE: Okay. This gentleman and then this 8 9 gentleman (indicating). UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The 97005 process is a very 10 complicated process and a very lengthy process. Are you 11 going to make the drinking water agency that is willing to 12 13 take this water into their system be responsible for the 14 9705 process, or is EPA going to be the lead on the 97005? That's a very good question. 15 MR. SCHAUFFLER: sort of a sticky point. The water purveyor in this case 16 17 who gets issued the permit from DPH doesn't necessarily have to do all the work, obviously, certainly not pay the 18 19 costs of the work. So we expect the private parties that implement the remedy will, in effect, do the work that is 20 21 required to gather the data, put together an analysis that goes with the 97005 process. 22 23 The water purveyor who is going to be the end user of the water I'm sure will want to make sure that that 24

satisfies their own concerns and needs and, obviously, meet

25

the requirements of DPH. So instead of being somewhat of a collaborative effort, exactly how it plays out is going to have to be worked out as far as the implementation or the remedy.

We've done this in other Superfund sites. We've gone through the process. It takes some time, it takes some work, but we do get there. And with constant contact with the EPA about that, making sure they understand how our process works and how it interfaces with their 97005 process concerning their water supply.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: As a follow-up to my question, in terms of those state agencies that DTSC then interfaces with, does DTSC interface with the California Public Utilities Commission?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Not that I know of. I'm representing the project manager. She couldn't make it tonight. I would have to go back and confirm with her, but I don't think that we've contacted them.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is there a particular interest of the Public Utility Commission that we should be factoring into the --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The Public Utility Commission is a constitutional authority of the state of California. It regulates drinking water, as well as drinking water rates and recovery of rates for the rate payers for the state of

California. They have a co-equal authority over health and 1 water quality, and, therefore, they should, at least so far as some of the providers in this OU or public utilities, 3 under the rule of the PUC and responsible to them, they 4 need to be involved in this process themselves. 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Have we identified the end user 6 of the drinking water in alternative No. 6? 7 MS. DESCHAMBAULT: No, we have not. We have talked with a couple of private water companies that have 9 expressed some interest, but that will be negotiated by the 10 11 responsible parties to implement the remedy. We wouldn't 12 be setting that up at this time, but we have had some 13 interest in the water. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It looks like a continuous need 14 15 for the 2000 gallons per minute is a reasonable assumption? 16 MS. DESCHAMBAULT: Yeah, it is. There is some 17 potential for some additional growth, but the area has 18 actually -- everything from the Southern California here in 19 California water issues and the need to potentially import 20 water, there is definitely an interest in taking this 21 water. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. 23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I just have a quick question. 24 And unless I missed it or I didn't read it, who is paying for all this? 25

The responsible parties. 1 MS. DESCHAMBAULT: UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. So the Omega? MS. DESCHAMBAULT: Well, Omega Chemical Corporation is 3 a corporation, and a number of facilities, entities, who 4 brought the waste to the facility, there was a -- what's 5 called, you know, a major parties who contributed the 6 7 majority of the waste that has now migrated off site. so the Potentially Responsible Parties have formed this 8 group, the OPOG, and that was formed for dealing with the 9 10 contamination in Operable Unit 1 and additional Potentially Responsible Parties down in Operable Unit 2 would also be 11 12 called as parties to pay for the -- pay for the remedy. 13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So it won't affect the residents or the business -- building owners? 14 15 MS. DESCHAMBAULT: No. This is all done under EPA Superfund settlements, agreements, orders for dollars, 16 17 amounts, and claims. MS. LANE: Any other clarification questions? 18 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: In the alternative 6, it talks about -- you talked about this too -- about the treated 20 21 water that would be distributed to the municipal water 22 supply system. And you talked about the fact that you have a few already lined up that have contacted you to accept 23 24 this water. Question: If you don't get those voluntary

takers, are you going to impose this water on the municipal

25

water system or, in this case, the private water companies
to take this treated water?

- MS. DESCHAMBAULT: I don't believe we have authority to do that. I think our goal is to negotiate. Again, Fred is my fallback, or Steve. What happens at that point? We choose -- I guess we don't expect that.
- MR. SCHAUFFLER: I don't think we've ever come to a case where we try to force somebody to take the water. For us, in terms the probability would drop to zero, if we found nobody who wanted this water, we'd have to look at some other end use for the water. That would probably be our response to the complete note in every possible water purveyor that might take the water if we need to find something else.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think there would be some kind of community resistance to having this water in their drinking water.
- MR. SCHAUFFLER: That's part of what we have to learn through the public process. I can say that we have a number of entities that provide drinking water to municipalities and the private purveyors, and it seems to be working okay. I think sometimes it's probably the cost of doing something different is the difference in your water bill, and maybe that's part of what drives the acceptance. And again, we do have a number of remedies for

treating water or drinking water and work out -- and 1 continue to work out right along this case, people will 2 likely provide examples. 3 MS. LANE: So we have this gentleman. 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. I have a question, what's 5 probably very naive, but I think an important question. 6 7 And that is alternative No. -- alternatives No. 3, 4, and 5 have apparently higher standards for the reclaimed water. 8 9 And does alternative No. 6, presumably, as you alluded to, 10 the standards for reinjecting water are much higher than they are for drinking. Why not use the highest possible 11 standards for generating drinking water? 12 MS. LANE: I think that's a comment. I think that's 13 considered a comment. You can address that. Can you give 14 15 your name? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sure, I can. My name is Steve 16 17 Betterman (phonetically), and it's actually a comment about why -- you know, why the alternatives were designed the way 18 19 they are. 20 MS. LANE: Okay. Then maybe you can explore that a 21 little bit more when we get to the comment part. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'd like to, yeah. I'd like to make a comment for some information that will illuminate 23 the question. 24 25 It's a comment, but also a very good MR. SCHAUFFLER:

2.0

question. When we go through the development of alternatives, we're given venues. We look at what are the requirements to be able to use the water in this way. And that's what we then apply to the costing of alternatives. So reinjection just has higher requirements that are driven by antidegradation and policies and things like that, requiring to treat to a higher level.

Drinking water can have a little bit of stuff in it and still be okay. Reinjection, on the other hand, is don't put anything back on a clean space that's not already there. So there's trace differences on what you have to treat to. We are also looking for what the requirements of that particular entity is, and that's the standard we go by to generate the cost, rather than saying, "Drinking water is this, but we're just going to go to something much lower than that."

One important thing to understand is there are drinking water standards that we showed up there. Most of the treatment technologies, if not all of them, actually treat to much lower levels than that. We typically remove the solvents, not the tech levels. So actually we are producing much cleaner water than the drinking water standards.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: This is usual rather than designed in or committed to?

1 MR. SCHAUFFLER: I'm not sure I understand your 2 question. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What you are saying, is it 3 usually the case that, in fact, the water is much cleaner 4 than the minimum necessary for drinking water? 5 6 MR. SCHAUFFLER: Yes. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: But that is not a commitment 7 that, in fact, is the fact of. 8 9 MR. SCHAUFFLER: Well, actually, when you get the EPA 97005, they actually are looking for technology that will 10 go below those drinking water standards. If you have 50 11 12 different contaminants and you treat them all just to the drinking water standards, you, in fact, may not have water 13 14 that's really all that good to drink. So you need to go below that in order to make sure that, given the whole 15 contaminants, it actually, in terms of this assessment, 16 17 satisfies the protecting standard should be sufficient in 18 terms of risk assessment, satisfies the protective standards. 19 And so, for example, if DPH looks for not only 20 treatment systems that can treat to below the drinking 21 water standard, but also for redundancy in this system to 22 23 make sure that nothing ever gets through to the ultimate --Question here. 24 MS. LANE: 25 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The treatment mechanism that you use in the OU1 unit, is it the same that you use for the OU2?

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: No. No. The Operable Unit 1 interim system is just going through an air stripper, and then the material is caught on a gas-granulated activated carbon. The system we are talking about here is much more extensive. It's a whole train --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That was my question, because it looks like a pretty sophisticated system. So the concern we would have is the experience and the ongoing and just the actual commissioning of that sophisticated system imposed in alternative 6.

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: Well, again, as Fred mentioned, that has been done at some other sites, and the process for that is regulated for the drinking water standards to be met through the process. But yeah, it would be something very different. The other one is just an aeration, and this would have much more, everything from oxidation -- and because downstream, I mentioned, we have other chemicals. We have to remove the hydrocarbons, the chromium.

So it will be a whole treatment train that would be in this much more complicated system, and it's all proven technology. We're not looking at doing anything new or unusual. These are all proven drinking water treatment processes that we are looking at.

MS. LANE: Is there any more clarification questions? 1 One here and one in the back. 2 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, my question, I'm wondering with the plume moving like 500 feet a year as you were 4 saying, is there any concern that it could reach the creek 5 6 that runs into the San Gabriel River before you can get it 7 cleaned up? MS. DESCHAMBAULT: How far down is the creek? 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's southwest like maybe a mile or so, I would say. It runs through Cerritos just south to 10 11 Imperial Highway. MS. DESCHAMBAULT: She's asking about a creek that's 12 south of -- she said that runs through Cerritos. 13 was wondering when -- if the plume is advancing, what is 14 the possibility of it impacting that creek? And I'm not 15 16 sure where -- I think we need to talk and find out exactly . where it is, and we could do some calculations and take a 17 18 look at that. 19 MS. LANE: And then there was one more question. 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. I did observe in the 21 background paperwork I read that there may be an issue of understanding of water rights in this basin. If the Water 22 Replenishment District is listed as the water master, in 23 24 fact, it's the state of California Department of Water. 25 Resources, so that's -- I guess that's one issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the other issue is in your alternatives, you apparently viewed water produced by these wells as an additional source, as new water. And that would not necessarily be correct, because all water pumped from these basins has to be replaced by the Water Replenishment District by law; and therefore, as you count that water extracted and produced to any benefit for use, which is the option -- your favorite option, that water would have to be used and the water would have to be replaced, and if it doesn't rain enough, that's going to be replaced by imported water from Northern California or the Colorado River. If you were to use your next highest option and return to the spreading grounds, that would not be true.

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: So you've made a lot of good comments there. So the Water Replenishment District is the acting water master. Phuong Ly --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, not the water master.

MS. LANE: Department of Water Resource.

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: Yes, DWR, and we are meeting with their board next week. And we are talking with them and sharing our information with them. And the water rights issue is something that is very complicated that would have to be dealt with by the implementing party. The EPA selects the remedy and then PRP goes into design. The

water rights would be negotiated at that time with them.

It's not something that EPA would be negotiating, but the parties implementing the remedy. And they could be borrowed; they could work under somebody else's water rights. There are a number of ways that could be done, purchased.

So that's not something that we've looked into at this point, and again, it would be part of the design process and the implementation process of the responsible parties implementing the site would negotiate those water rights.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. I agree with that.

The other -- the last issue would be this is not a new water source of planting any imported water.

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: Yes.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And you'd still have to replace it with imported water if it were to be extracted and put to beneficial use. So that's in your math, and that would not be correct.

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: I guess I'm not understanding -- I didn't think we would consider this a new water. We are taking this water and returning it through water rights to a beneficial use back in the area.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right. And that water would have to be replaced by the Water Replenishment District and

potentially by using imported water from Metropolitan Water

District if there is insufficient storm water, which

happens every year.

MS. LANE: Sir, I think this would be a great comment that you could add to our verbal comment period. And try to explain it a little bit more carefully, and we can record that and try to address it. I'd really appreciate that.

Are there any other clarification questions? And we can start with this gentleman with the first comment.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Just as a follow-up, does that mean that the cost that showed up for that alternative 6 does not include the replenishment assessment fee that has to be paid on this water every year?

MR. PERINA: The cost includes replenishment, assessment fees for reject drawing that will be sent outside of the basin, because all the alternatives will include either -- or infiltration and will result in a small percentage of the water that has high solids and will be held in official use and sent to an industrial sewer.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No. I understand that.

MR. PERINA: The conveying fee will be applied to that. For the water that will have beneficial use, the plan is that that will be a negotiated agreement that will deal with an existing water right water. So this water that

will be extracted by the remedy will be in addition to a 1 2 part of the existing water rights of what the usage --I understand that, but there is UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 3 also a replenishment assessment fee that has to paid on any 4 water you pump. What I'm asking is, is that number 5 included in your cost? 6 7 MR. PERINA: That is not included. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So that means, you know, to sort 8 9 of answer to -- I think the lady in front had a question 10 whether or not who is paying for this -- then that portion would be paid for by all of us. 11 12 MR. PERINA: That portion of the water will be -- this 13 water extends on the existing water rights of the purveyor. This down water is extracted using the water rights of that 14 15 purveyor in the basin. It will likely be offset by a decrease in production before this -- as compared to before 16 this remedy starts up. That's the basic assumption of 17 this. 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Tom, just to clarify, the Water 19 Replenishment District was created to do a 20 bucket-for-bucket replenishment of every bucket of water 21 that's exacted out of the ground. Okay. So even though 22 this is an adjudicated basin, and the existing water 23 24 purveyors have the right to extract that water, for every

acre foot of water they take out of the ground, they have

25

to pay the Replenishment District to put that water back in the ground, and that's what they are talking about.

Did you guys account for those costs? Even though you'll be utilizing existing water rights, there is still a replenishment assessment tied to those water rights that have to be paid.

MR. PERINA: Yes. The thinking behind this is that if an existing water rights holder pays replenishment assessment fees for 2000 gallons per minute and decreases his production by the same amount and gets this remedy water, there is no change in replenishment fee, so that is not a cost incurred by the remedy. Of course, this agreement will have to be reached between the Omega PRPs and the purveyor that they negotiate with.

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: Thank you.

MS. LANE: So do we have any public comments tonight?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: One additional clarification, if

I may. Is there anything that's being discovered with

additional contaminants or other sources of contamination

that would significantly change any of the assumptions in

the alternatives?

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: The question was, was there any additional information that could be possibly found that would change our selection of our remedy in this case, our alternative.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Remedy of cost -- exactly. 1 2 MS. DESCHAMBAULT: One thing that's important to keep in mind is this remedy that we are selecting is a 3 containment remedy to keep that dashed line from spreading. 4 So regardless of what sources are within them, we would 5 continue to treat the water to the drinking water 6 7 We would continue to pump and treat at the same rate of 2000 gallons per minute to keep that plume from 8 moving. 10 The long-term restoration of the remedy would look 11 at opportunities to work with cleaning up those areas, 12 source areas, whatever they may be, if we found others to 13 eventually restore the entire groundwater plume to natural 14 conditions or drinking -- meeting standards. But no, it 15 would not change for this remedy, which the intent is to 16 contain it. It would not change. 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And it's unlikely that you'll 18 find anything that would be enormously more expensive or 19 prohibitive to treat and add to drinking water or 20 something? 21 MS. DESCHAMBAULT: It is unlikely. We've done a very extensive search. We have a whole team, and outside 22 23 contractors have been looking at the historical areas and historical sites within the area. And it would have shown 24

up also in our field sampling analysis.

25

When we were

taking these various over 1600 samples and going through file reviews, we would have had some indication of a hot spot that we would have further researched and identified.

Now, if somebody starts dumping today in a new site, and it's a chemical, highly concentrated, I think the same would still apply. We would look at upgradient, downgradient, look at the impact. We would do a source remedy for that source area in the alternate restoration and cleanup.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.

MS. DESCHAMBAULT: Is there a question there? No?

MS. LANE: Any other questions? Are there any public comments tonight?

MR. ROWLEY: Paul Rowley, R-o-w-l-e-y, Golden State
Water Company. For the five wells you identified here
tonight, we are impacted by this plume. And I think by the
questions that were asked and answered and given tonight,
it's clear to us that you -- the devil is in the details.
And the EPA, we support their efforts in containing this
plume, and we know the importance of containing this plume,
but the devil is in the details.

You refer to anything that happened in the negotiating process. We feel the negotiating process, at that point, it's too late. Things have to be flushed out now. The work has to be under work of the purveyors, which

is Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs Water Company, to work out those details of where the water is going to go. Because the 2,000-gallons-per-minute rate you are proposing, there is a finite built-out area over there. There's a finite water management area that you are proposing to put this water at, and we all have a facility that we have to work with to make sure this water is going to be used.

We, as a regular utility, we answer to the CPUC. So we are concerned about the impact that this proposal has for our existing facilities, the operational cost of facilities, and we just feel that the Feasible Study in this proposed solution doesn't get to those levels of details that we would need to see to support this overall.

Again, we support the goal, and we understand the importance of containment of this plume, but we still think the devil is in the details, and those details need to be flushed out.

So we will be working with the EPA -- we have been working with the EPA on this, but we are still concerned that we are still premature in this process, and a lot more discussion needs to occur between the purveyors of that region to work out the details so this will be a successful project.

MS. LANE: Any other comments? State your name and who you represent.

MR. VINATIERI: Thank you. My name is Joe Vinatieri, that's V-, as in "Victor," -i-n-a-t-i-e-r-i. I'm on the city council here at the city of Whittier. I'm not speaking on behalf of the city of Whittier or the city council. These are my personal comments.

First of all, welcome to Whittier. I'm sorry we are here under these circumstances, but we'd like to have you here, and please spend some money uptown when we get done here.

I wanted to bring out the fact that this area in the OU1 and OU3 -- and I recognize we are talking OU2, but they all are part and parcel. This area is a very dynamic and critical area for Whittier because the largest employer in southeast Los Angeles County is Presbyterian

Intercommunity Hospital. It's right in this area, as well as several others. According to the chart that we saw, that you put up here, the highest concentration of PCEs is right in Whittier. And so I'm concerned about the point of action relative to OU3. And I recognize we are talking about OU2 tonight, but I think EPA needs to be aware of the fact that this is a critical thing, at least in my opinion, and my concern is, what is the plan of action for OU3, and what's the timetable to take care of OU3? Thank you.

MS. LANE: Any other public comments?

Well, we want to thank you all for coming tonight.

CH2MHILL MEETING AUGUST 31, 2010

We'll be here for more time after to answer any other questions that you have. And, of course, remember that the comment period ends on October 21st, and we'll be happy to review your written comments. Thank you very much for coming out tonight. (At 8:16 p.m., the meeting was concluded.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 SS. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES) 2 3 4 I, ZAIRA JIMENEZ, C.S.R. No. 13283, do hereby 5 certify: That prior to being examined, the witness named in 6 the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to testify 7 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. 8 That said deposition was taken before me at the 9 time and place therein set forth and was taken down by me 10 in shorthand and thereafter was transcribed into 11 typewriting under my direction and supervision, and I 12 13 hereby certify the foregoing transcript is a full, true and 14 correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. 15 I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor related to any party to said action, nor in any way 16 interested in the outcome thereof. 17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my 18 day of 19 20 21. 2.2 ZAIRA ĴIMENEZ, CSR #13283 23 24

25

	CHZMHIDD	TELING AUGUS		
WORD INDEX	3 2:8 11:8, 12,	75 2:4, 7	adjudicated	Anybody 36:3
WORD INDEX	21 26:5 45:7	76 9:15	53:23	39:2
< 0 >	30 6:21 7:14	10 3.10	ado 7:10	Anytime 12:3
01 4:2	16:8 34:7	< 8 >	adults 19:1	apparently 45:8
0mega 4:12	3000 12: <i>17</i> , <i>17</i>	8 59:6	advancing 9:3	50:2
37:19	30s 9:11	80 33: <i>15</i>	49:14	APPEARANCES
0U1 14:20	31 1:8 4:1	00 33.73	aeration 48:17	2:1
001 14.20	32 3:3, 4	< 9 >	affect 43:13	applicable 26:23
<1>	3236 2:9	9 2:3	agencies 15:21	applied 52:22
1 2:4 4:11	35 3:3, 4, 6	90602 1: <i>11</i>	38:18 39:1, 16,	applied 32.22
8:20, 22, 23 9:9	36 3:4	91 12:9, <i>1</i> 3	18 41:12	39:19 46:4 56:6
10:20 11: <i>13</i>	38 3:3, 4, 6	94105 2:5, 8		appreciate 52:7
14: <i>16</i> 18: <i>13</i>	39 3:6	947 2:5	Agency 2:2 16:22 38:14, 20,	appreciated 4:7
19:7, 8, 10, 17	39 3.0	97005 40:10, 14,	21, 22, 22 40:12	appreciated 4.7
22:10 27:16	<4>	22 41:9 47:10	l	26:23
29:21 43:10	4 2:9 3:3 6:11	9705 39:21	agenda 4:21 11:9	approval 38:10
48:3	26:5 45:7	40:6, 14		
		972 2:9	agree 51:12	approved 5:23 approximately
10 15: <i>14</i>	40 3:3, 6 18:16		agreement	
12 15:14 39:22	20:25	98 12: <i>1</i> 9	52:24 54:13	12:17 19:24
13283 60:4, 20	415 2:5, 9		agreements	aquifer 15:25
14 16: <i>17</i>	4183 2:5	<a>	31:7 43:16	20:17, 23, 25
14th 60: <i>16</i>	42 3:6	able 4:16 5:8	agricultural 9:12	21:2 22:23
16 3:3 59:6	43 3:3, 6	46:3	ahead 12:3	24:15, 21 31:11,
1600 15:16 56:1	44 3:6, 6	accept 43:23	air 11:12 48:4	14
18000 10:9, <i>10</i> ,	45 3:3	acceptance	allowed 24:21	ARARs 28:7
11	46 3:6	27:10, 11 38:7,	alluded 45:9	area 8:20 9:6
19 3:3, 4	47 3:3, 6	7 44:25	alternate 56:8	10:21 11:22
1976 9: <i>21</i>	48 3:6	access 35:6	alternative 7:24	13:1, 10 17:23
1980s 21: <i>15</i>	49 3:3, 6	accompany 5:22	8:1 22:6 23:25	19:10, 25 20:19
1984 12: <i>10</i>		account 54:3	25:20, 22 26:3	21:4, 8 24:19,
1988 12: <i>10</i>	<5>	achieve 22:17,	29:21 32:3, 20	20 29:8 32:15,
1991 9:16, 17, 21	5 8: <i>17</i> 17: <i>24</i>	19 29:6	38:13 42:7	15, 24, 25 33:1,
1995 12: <i>13</i> , <i>15</i>	25:25 26:5 45:7	acre 53:25	43:19 45:7, 9	20 36:12 37:2
1999 16: <i>19</i>	50 3:3, 6 47:11	acronyms 13:13	48:12 52:12	42:17 51:23
_	500 13:22 18:3	Act 27:1, 1, 2	54:25	55:24 56:8
<2>	49:4	acting 50:17	alternatives	57:4, 5 58:10,
2 4:9, 24 8:18	51 3:6	action 14:15	7:25 14:10	12, 13, 15
9:1 11:19, 24	52 3:4, 6	17:19, 23 22:3	15:6, 7, 10 22:4,	areas 18:2 23:8,
12:7 14:10	53 3:6	25:20, 22 27:16	9 23:10, 15, 16	13 33:3 36:13
17: <i>21</i> , <i>22</i> 18: <i>15</i>	53.6 31:5	28:10 29:20	25:13, 15, 17	37:3, 13 38:1
19:12 22:9, 14	54 3:4, 6, 6	30:3 37:4	26:5, 18 27:15	55:11, 12, 23
23:11 27:16	540 9:4 29:4	58:19, 22 60:13	28:5, 20 30:12,	asked 14:4
43:11	55 3:6	actions 34:21	13 31:1, 18	36:3 56:17
20 15:19 36:5	56 3:4, 6, 6	activated 48:5	32:1 34:3, 3, 4	asking 15:1
200 18: <i>17</i> 21: <i>1</i> ,	57 3:4	activities 15:25	38:9 45:7, 18	49:12 53:5
3	58 3:4, 6	actual 5:7	46:2, <i>4</i> 50:1	Assessment
2000 29:2	105	10:21 31:16	52:17 54:21	15:2 18: <i>14</i>
42:15 54:9	<6>	48: <i>11</i>	amount 14:24	19:12 47:16, 18
55:8 57:3	6 2:8 3:6 26:5	add 13:6 52:5	54:10	52:13, 16 53:4
2002 16:19	32:3 42:7	55:19	amounts 43:17	54:5, 9
2007 12:19 13:1	43:19 45:9	addition 4:24	analysis 25:17,	assessments
2009 13: <i>1</i>	48:12 52:12	53: <i>1</i>	22 40:21 55:25	11:20
200th 21:8	60 34:7	additional 7:9	analyze 26:19	assistance 14:3
2010 1:8 4:1	605 8:16 24:18	13:7 36:5	Angeles 58:14	assumption
13: <i>11</i> , <i>15</i> 60: <i>16</i>	31:22	42:17 43:10	60:2	42:15 53:17
21st : 5:3, 4, 20	60s 9:12	50:3 54:17, 19,	answer 40:5	assumptions
8:5 34:11 59:3	17.	23	53:9 57:8 59:1	54:20
23rd 5:3, 4	<7>	address 4:10	answered 56:17	attend 39:4
24 37:12, 13	7 2:4 3:4 4:2	45:14 52:7	antidegradation	Attorney 2:9
425	7360 1: <i>10</i> .	addressed 5:21	46:6	6:15 8:8

< 3 >

audience 7:3

AUGUST 1:8
4:1 5:3, 4
authority 41:23
42:1 44:3
auto 9:19
automobile 9:13
availability 7:9
available 6:2
21:23 34:17
AVENUE 1:10
aware 58:20

 Bachelor 9:14 back 11:15, 25 12:1 17:17 19:18 27:22 28:1, 16 32:21 33:4, 25 39:4 41:17 46:10 49:2 51:23 54:1 background 7:18 49:21 barrier 23:23 **based** 14:13 17:19 18:5 23:17 32:2 baseline 25:21 basic 53:17 basically 10:8 basin 24:8, 11, 19 27:23 29:12 31:20 32:17 49:22 52:17 53:15. 23 **basins** 24:18 26:12 50:5 **bathe** 20:16 bathroom 6:25 began 12:19 behalf 39:8 58:4 believe 44:3 beneficial 32:14 51:18, 23 52:23 benefit 30:7 50:7 BERINGER 3:6 6:13 Berninger 2:9 6:14, 14 best 7:15 13:13, 16 29:21 34:3 35:5 better 35:13 Betterman 45:17 bill 44:24 billion 17:20, 21 25:25 bit 9:10 10:15 11:7 12:20

17:2 20:7

30:18 31:7 35:13 45:21 46:8 52:6 blended 33:17 block 19:20, 20 22:13 blocks 19:25 22:11, 12 **Board** 15:18, 24 37:6 39:2 50:21 **body** 9:19 border 17:21 borrowed 51:4 Boulevard 8:25 19:19 boundary 17:19 37:12 box 39:7 break 7:5 **brief** 13:24 **briefly** 10:20 11:*19* brine 33:8, 12, 13 bring 6:9 39:4 58:10 brought 10:1, 10 43:5 Bruck 2:9 8:9 bucket 53:21, 21, 21 **building** 19:21 43:14 buildings 11:17 19:21 **built** 57:4 bulk 33:14 **business** 43:14 byproducts 9:24 37:18

< C > C.S.R 60:4 Cal 8:12 calculations 49:17 CALIFORNIA 1:11 2:5, 8 4:1 21:11 24:6 27:2 38:15 39:9 41:13, 23 42:1, 18, 19 49:24 50:11 60:1 call 4:9 5:13, 21 10:16, 18 called 7:20 14:3, 8 18:10 20:12 21:21 43:6, 12

calls 35:2

capital 31:4 carbon 48:6 care 58:23 carefully 52:6 carry 31:23 case 8:9 38:20, 21 39:18 40:16 44:1, 8 45:2 47:4 54:24 caught 48:5 Cenco 37:16 CENTER 1:9 central 32:17 Cerritos 49:10, 13 certainly 32:4 40:18 certify 60:5, 10, 12 CH2MHill 2:9 **chain** 25:6 chance 12:2 change 54:11, 20, 24 55:15, 16 characterize 14:23 chart 28:3 32:2 58:16 cheaper 33:22 check 4:18 12:2 checked 16:25 CHEMICAL 1:6 4:7 7:18 8:16, 23 9:14, 16, 20, 22 10:3, 17 12:9 16:10 43:3 56:5 chemicals 9:24 10:11 11:6, 16 13:7 17:6, 7 22:21 24:25, 25 25:12 27:5 36:21 48:19 Chief 2:9 6:11 children 19:2 chlorinated 10:3. 5, 7 36:25 choices 25:3 choose 44:6 **chose** 27:15 chosen 7:25 33:6 **chrome** 36:22 37:2 chromium 36:23 37:1 48:20 circumstances 58:7

58:3, 3, 4, 4 claims 43:17 clarification 5:6, 11 6:22 43:18 49:1 52:9 54:17 clarify 53:19 clarifying 35:9 clean 13:16 23:19, 20 25:1 32:11 33:11 37:21 46:10 cleaned 10:24 24:13 25:6 49:7 cleaner 46:22 47:4 cleaning 12:11 15:7 24:16 55:11 Cleanup 2:9 4:6 14:20 22:4 56:9 clear 56:18 clearly 6:23 8:4 close 11:17 collaborative 41:2 collected 15:17 16:21 Colorado 50:11 come 4:5 5:14 7:11 13:19 39:25 44:7 comes 20:17, 17, 22 29:18 coming 9:15 21:5 58:25 59:5 COMMENT 1:7 5:2, 2, 7, 12, 14 7:6 8:4 14:11, 14 34:7, 23 45:13, 14, 17, 21, 23, 25 52:*4*, 5, 10 59:3 comments 4:25 5:18 33:21 34:5, 10, 16, 25 35:9, 11 50:16 54:16 56:13 57:24 58:5, 24 59:4 commingle 16:4 commingled 11:3 36:23 commingling 37:20, 20 Commission 41:14, 20, 22 commissioning 48:11 commitment

47:7 committed 46:25 COMMUNITY 1:9 2:5, 7 4:*1*3 27:11, 13 44:16 companies 33:20 37:15 42:9 44:1 **Company** 56:15 57:1 compare 22:5 31:1, 5 compared 53:16 comparison 17:11 complete 15:12 44:12 completed 5:9 15:12 compliance 26:22 28:7 complicated 40:11 48:22 50:23 comply 24:9 computer 18:11 concentrated 17:25 56:5 concentration 17:10, 18 23:8, 13 28:14 58:17 concentrations 13:8 18:3, 7 conceptual 32:23 concern 17:5, 6 48:9 49:5 58:22 concerned 12:11 17:8 57:9, 19 58:18 concerning 41:10 concerns 31:12 40:25 concluded 59:7 conclusions 11:20 concur 39:10 concurred 38:12 concurrence 27:12 concurs 39:9, 12 conditions 55:14 confirm 41:17 confirmation 38:7 confusing 20:7 connection 33:7, 13

cities 18:20

city 19:20, 25

consider 15:7
25:18 40:4 51:21
consideration
24:2
considered 5:21
23:16 24:8
25:13, 18 32:16 45:14
constant 41:7
constitutional
41:23
contact 18:23
20:15 23:1 34:25 38:23
39:5, 15 41:7
contacted 41:18
43:23 contain 13:10,
18 15:8 23:7
28:12 29:24
32:6 34:12
55: <i>16</i> contained 13: <i>5</i>
29:3
containing
13:20 56:19, 20
containment
22:16, 16 55:4 57:15
contaminants
17:5 37:21
47:12, 16 54:19 contaminated
10:24 11:14
13:5 17:12
19:11 31:10, 11
36:24
contaminating 28:13
contamination
4:11 8:19 9:8
11:1 13:7, 9, 16
15:4 16:14 17:8 20:24
21:10 23:22
30:8 43:10
54:19 contaminations
14:6
continue 7:9
11:5 13:6, 6
16:5 18:7
21: <i>13</i> 22:22 37:8 45:2 55:6,
7
continued 16:2
continuous
42:14
I .

Contractor 2:9 6:19 23:14 35:18 contractors 55:23 contribute 9:8 contributed 16:13 43:6 contributing 36:5, 22 37:13, 17 Control 8:13 15:23, 24 16:24 39:1 convenience 7:2 conversion 9:14 conveying 52:22 coordinated 15:21 Coordinator 2:7 4:14 corner 20:3 CORPORATION 1:6 4:7 7:18 8:24 9:20 12:9 43:3, 4 correct 50:4 51:19 60:11 corroded 10:14 12:18 cost 27:9 30:25 31:2, 24 44:22 46:14 52:12, 15 53:6 54:12 55:1 57:10 costing 35:22 46:4 costs 31:5 40:19 54:3 council 58:3, 5 counsel 60:12 **count** 50:6 County 58:14 60:2 couple 6:9 16:4 18:2 19:25 22:11 42:9 course 4:24 5:7 54:12 59:2 court 5:5 6:24 35:25 cover 7:17 covered 22:12 **CPUC** 57:8 created 53:20 creek 49:5, 8, 12, criteria 25:18 26:15, 19, 20

27:3 28:6, 21

29:14, 18 32:1, 13 49:8, 12 50:15, 20 51:15,

3 34:4 38:6 critical 58:13, 21 **CSR** 60:20 currently 28:14 32:11 < D > Daily 6:1 dashed 37:11 55:4 data 15:14 16:25 18:10, 11 40:21 day 33:22 34:7 60:16 deal 21:23, 25 52:24 dealing 22:10 43:9 dealt 50:24 decide 34:12 Decision 5:23 6:5 14:12 34:14, 15 decrease 53:16 decreases 54:9 deeper 20:23 24:15 defined 22:13 definitely 42:20 degrading 32:10 degrease 10:5 demand 28:23 29:8 30:21, 23 Department 8:12 12:10, 13 15:22 37:5 39:2 49:24 50:19 Depending 7:3 22:12 depends 7:7 depository 6:3 **Depot** 25:3 depth 16:20 dermal 18:23 20:15 **DESCHAMBAULT** 2:3 3:4 6:19 7:13 19:18, 24 20:3 32:20 35:17, 22 36:2, 8 37:25 38:14 42:8, 16 43:1, 3, 15 44:3 48:3,

description 32:21 design 14:14 32:23 50:25 51:8 designates 38:22 designed 14:17 35:18 45:18 46:25 desk 35:1 details 56:18, 21 57:2, 13, 16, 16, detections 11:6 determination 12:23 determine 12:21, 22 13:15 14:25 15:3 17:19 18:4 25:22 29:17 determined 17:16 32:2 determining 31:7 develop 15:5 developed 23:15 development 8:9 46:1 devil 56:18, 21 57:16 diagram 17:17 difference 44:23 differences 39:6 46:11 different 20:17, 23 25:1 26:8 27:21 44:23 47:12 48:17 differently 19:3 difficult 27:25 33:24 37:10 diminishing 18:8 direct 35:1 direction 16:16 60:9 directions 23:3 discharging 24:17 26:12 discovered 54:18 discovery 14:2, 5 discussion 57:21 disposed 9:25 10:2 33:9 disrepair 12:18 dissolved 33:11 distance 31:23, distributed 43:21

District 49:23 50:6, 16 51:25 52:2 53:20 54:1 divided 10:17 divides 17:22 document 5:23. 24 6:2 15:13 doing 27:10 31:2, 12 44:23 48:23 **dollars** 43:16 door 7:1 35:3 double 35:16, 21, downgrade 36:6 downgradient 4:12 8:19 9:2, 9 11:1 13:6, 8 32:12 36:8, 12, 22 56:7 downstream 22:24 37:16 48:19 dozen 16:4 **DPH** 38:10 39:18, 19 40:3, 17 41:1 47:20 drawing 52:16 drink 18:24 20:20, 21 47:14 drinking 11:5 17:11 18:18 20:22, 22 21:3, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16 23:1 24:7, 8, 13 25:7 26:2, 13 27:1, 17, 23 28:16 29:12 30:4, 19 31:3, 15. 24 32:16 33:7, 16, 16, 17, 19 38:9 39:24 40:12 41:24, 24 42:7 44:17, 20 45:1, 11, 12 46:8, 14, 18, 22 47:5, 11, 13, 21 48:15, 24 55:6, 14, 19 driven 46:5 drives 44:24 drop 20:20 44:9 drums 10:14 12:17, 17 16:9 **DTSC** 8:11, 11 15:18 38:8, 12, 14, 22, 25 39:5, 7, 8, 15, 17 41:12, 13 dumping 56:4

20 54:15, 22

55:2, 21 56:11

extraction 24:1

duplicates 16:24
DWR 50:20
dye 9:12 dynamic 58:12
<e> earlier 15:1</e>
early 14:3
easiest 35:6
Ecological 16:2 20:18
edge 27:16
28:11, 16 30:4
31:3 effect 40:20
effective 27:4
29:20 30:17
effectively 29:24 effectiveness
27:7 29:13, 19
30:15
effort 30:19 41:2
efforts 56:19
either 5:19
10:13 29:16 34:20 37:4, 5
52:18
else's 51:4
employer 58:13
endangered 20:18 26:25
ends 59:3 enforcement
enforcement 34:21
enormously
55:18
entire 55:13 entities 10:1
43:4 44:20
entity 46:13
Environmental 2:2
EPA 2:3, 9 5:24
6:18 8:12, 21 10:16 11:21 12:15 15:11
10:76 11:27
16:7, 16, 23
17:18 18:9
22:5 25:17 26:14 28:20
31:25 34: <i>1</i>
38:20 39:16
40: <i>14</i> 41:8 43: <i>15</i> 47:9
50:24 51:2
56:19 57:18, 19
58:20 EPA's 7:23
9:25 13:18
26:21

CH2MHILL
equal 29:22 30:15 42:1 estimate 9:4 10:9
estimated 13:21 Evaluation 16:2 38:6
evaporate 11:16 evening 4:4 16:15 eventually 55:13
everybody 4:18 exacted 53:22 exactly 32:18
37:25 41:2 49:16 55:1 example 39:19 47:20
examples 38: <i>1</i> 45:3 exceed 17: <i>8</i>
exist 26:24 existing 52:25 53:2, 13, 23 54:4, 8 57:10
existing 52:25 53:2, 13, 23 54:4, 8 57:10 exists 14:6 expect 14:19 40:19 44:6
expensive 31:20 55:18 experience 48:10 explain 7:22
10:15 18:12 22:8, 15, 18 35:12 36:4 52:6
explained 8:4 10:20 28:12 29:14 34:8 explains 11:15
explore 45:20 exposure 15:3 19:4, 4, 7 20:13, 18 22:21, 25
18 22:21, 25 expressed 42:10 extend 5:2 extended 9:9
34:8 extends 8:19 9:2 18:16
19:19 53:13 Extensive 14:24 18:6 31:18 48:7 55:22
extent 12:21 16:20 17:15 extract 29:21 53:24
extracted 25:24 26:4 50:7 51:17 53:1, 14
avtracting 26.5

extracting 26:5

26:4, 9, 10, 11, 13 27:19 29:10, 23 30:9 31:3 32:22
<pre> <pre> <pre> facilitating 5:12 facilities 9:8, 23 11:2 16:21, 22 43:4 57:10, 11 facility 9:11, 19, 23, 24 10:1, 3, 10, 12, 21 11:13, 23 12:15 19:10 20:11 36:9, 11 37:19 43:5 57:6 fact 4:20, 22 6:4 8:1 17:3, 4, 17 26:20 28:1, 4 34:24 35:7 43:22 47:4, 8, 8, 13 49:24 58:10, 21 </pre></pre></pre>
factor 34:10 factoring 41:21 fallback 44:5 far 12:22, 22 14:25 21:20, 20, 21 31:21 36:11 41:3 42:2 49:8 fast 7:15 favorite 50:8 fax 5:19 34:10 35:1
Fe 37:16 57:1 feasibility 7:21 13:12, 14 14:9 15:5 21:21 22:7 23:17 25:16 feasible 23:21 27:7 57:11 feasibly 15:7 federal 21:11 27:2 38:16 fee 52:13, 22
53:4 54:11 feel 28:15 29:22 56:23 57:11 fees 52:16 54:9 feet 9:4 13:22 18:16 20:25 21:3 29:4 49:4 field 12:21 14:24 15:25 16:25 18:5, 6, 12 37:17 55:25 figure 21:19

```
file 14:24 15:17,
20 56:2
filter 25:2
find 14:5 15:10
20:14 29:7
30:19 38:3
44:13 49:16
55:18
findings 7:22
finite 57:4, 4
first 4:4 22:20
25:19, 20 35:9
52:10 58:6
fit 18:11
fits 29:17
five 11:4 17:20
21:6 56:15
flowing 18:19
flushed 56:24
57:17
focus 11:24
22:7
folks 8:7
follow 28:3
39:13, 21 41:11
52:11
foot 53:25
force 44:8
foregoing 60:10
formal 5:1, 12,
14 6:2 35:10
formally 34:6, 6
formed 16:14
43:8, 9
former 4:12
8:23 10:25
11:22
formulate 15:9
forth 60:7
found 17:10
19:5, 13 20:12
21:6, 9 44:10
54:23 55:12
fountains 7:1
20:12
four 9:2 12:24
17:23 20:1
27:20
Francisco 2:5, 8
4:15
Fred 6:10 38:17
44:4 48:13
Frederick 2:9
free 17:13
Freeman 2:9
6:18
freeway 31:22
Freon 10:7
36:25
front 53:9
```

FS 7:20, 21, 24 13:14 14:22 22:9 26:18 27:14 34:2 35:2 **full** 60:10 fully 35:17 further 7:10 14:16 22:23 23:6, 13 32:10, 12 35:12 56:3 60:12 future 4:19 32:13 < G > Gabriel 49:6 gallon 29:2 **gallons** 42:15 54:9 55:8 57:3 gas 48:5 gather 18:6 40:21 gathering 18:9 general 19:22 33:3, 3 generally 8:19 generate 46:14 generating 45:12 generically 36:15 gentleman 40:8. 9 45:4 52:10 getting 23:1, 7 30:7 38:7 39:23 give 4:8 5:10 16:6, 19 45:14 given 46:2 47:15 56:17 gives 9:5 17:2 giving 11:11 Glenn 2:9 8:9 go 6:21 7:6, 19 12:3 14:14 23:13 25:3, 10, 13, 14 26:14, 16 35:13 38:25 41:17 46:1, 13, 15 47:11, 14 57:2 goal 13:22 22:25 26:22 29:6 32:6, 7, 8 44:4 57:14 **goals** 32:6 goes 6:17 14:6 21:3 22:2 40:22 50:25 going 7:14, 23 8:21 14:1 19:22 22:18

24:5 27:4, 4, 5,

22 28:2, 8, 10

- 1					
	34:12, 15 35:8	happy 12:4	hydrocarbons	individual 15:19	issue 11:18
- 1		20:6 35:2 59:3	37:18 48:20	16: <i>21</i>	12:10 49:21, 25
	36:18 37:4, 8			I.	
	40:12, 14, 23	hard 12:1 17:3	hydrogeologist	individually	50:1, 23 51:13
	41:2 43:25	Hawthorne 2:4, 7	8:10	11:10	issued 12:15
	46: <i>15</i> 48: <i>4</i>	hazardous 10:9	Hydrologist 2:9	indoor 11:12	13:15 40:17
	50:10 56:1	head 21:10, 14	, , , , ,	industrial 9:15	issues 19:9
		health 11:20	<1>	24:14 25:5	20:11 31:12
	57:2, 7	l .		•	l .
- 1	gold 24:7	12: <i>10</i> 15:2	idea 16:6, 20	36:12 52:20	42:19
	Golden 56:14	16: <i>1</i> 18: <i>14</i> , <i>20</i>	identified 16:3	industrialized	its 13:10 27:6
	Good 4:4 29:24	19:3, 12 26:21	21:24 36:10, 14,	9:7	
	30:12 36:2, 3	28:7 32:9 39:2	18 37:12 42:6	industry 9:18	<j></j>
	40:15 45:25	42:1	56:3, 15	infiltration 52:18	Jackie 4:13
		,		l .	
	47:14 50:15	hear 8:21 14:8	identify 16:3	information 4:19,	7:13 8:3, 6, 10
	grab 17: <i>14</i>	34:5 35:25	37:10	22 7:16 15:20,	11: <i>11</i> 34:8
	gradient 21:17	heavily 11:9, 14	identifying 39:23	22 16:20 34:25	JACQUELINE
	granulated 48:5	13: <i>4</i>	illuminate 45:23	45:23 50:22	2:7
	gray 21:8	heavy 13:7	imagine 36:11	54:23	JIMENEZ 60:4,
				1	I -
	Great 6:8 52:4	31:23	impact 11:4	ingestion 18:23	20
	ground 27:22	held 52:20	56:7 57:9	inhale 18:25	Joe 58: <i>1</i>
	53:22, 25 54:2	help 18:12	impacted 11:4	initially 37:19	Julie 8:11
	grounds 50:14	36:16 38:1, 17	21:9 56:16	inorganic 25:12	July 13:3
	groundwater 4:9,	helping 6:18	impacting 23:11	input 14:13	jurisdiction
	10 8:18 9:1	hereunto 60:15	49:15	27:14	38:17
			t -		30.77
	10:22, 23 11:25	hex 37:1	impacts 11:12	installed 13:3	. 14
	12:7 13:2, <i>5</i> , <i>18</i>	high 18:3, 8	Imperial 25:24	insufficient 52:2	< K >
	14: <i>21</i> 15: <i>16</i>	19:10 23:12, 13	49:11	intent 55:15	keep 13:4, 22
	17: <i>5, 15, 22</i>	29:16, 23 32:5	implement 27:8	Intercommunity	14: <i>4</i> 23:2, 10
	18:6, 10, 13, 15,	33:10 52:19	34:18 40:20	58:15	29:3 34:19
	16, 21 19:6, 11	higher 31:7, 17	42:11	interest 33:21	35:10 55:2, 4, 8
	20:1, 8 23:18	45:8, 10 46:5, 7	implementability	39:3 41:19	keeping 23:12
		1		•	
	24:1, <i>4</i> 33:5	highest 29:18	30:17	42:10, 13, 20	24:10 28:18
	34:12 36:11	45:11 50:13	Implementation	interested 36:16	keeps 32:9
	55: <i>13</i>	58: <i>17</i>	39:20 41:3 51:9	60: <i>14</i>	Kelly 2:9 6:17
	group 16:11, 14	highly 56:5	implemented	interface 41:13	kept 25:9
	43:9	Highway 8:16,	30:22	interfaces 41:9,	Ketellapper 8:8
- 1	growth 42:17	17 49:11	implementing	12	kind 44:15
	quess 35:18	Hill 23:14	50:24 51:3, 10	interim 13:2	know 5:1 8:14
	•		•		
	44:6 49:25	historical 35:4	implications	22:16 48:4	11:10 13:25
	51:20	55:23, 2 <i>4</i>	31:9	intermittently	16:7 18: <i>11</i>
	guys 6:23	history 7:18	import 42:19	9:18	19:7 21:7
-	35: <i>24</i> 54:3	9:10	importance	introduce 6:8	22:19 24:6
l		hits 21:9	56:20 57:15	introduced 8:6	26:25 30:16
	< H >	hold 5:8 19:14	important 19:1	intrusion 11:15,	31:21, 21 33:15
	half 9:2, 3	holder 54:8	22:19 24:9	18 19:6, 8, 13	40: <i>4</i> 41: <i>15</i>
	12:24, 25 17:23	home 25:2, 3	45:6 46:17 55:2	20:11	43:6 45:18
- 1	19:20 20:1	hope 4:15 5:8	imported 50:11	investigated	53:8 56:20
	27:20	Hospital 58: <i>15</i>	51: <i>14</i> , <i>17</i> 52: <i>1</i>	37:7	
l	hand 5:14 46:9	hot 17:25 18:3	importing 33:22	investigates 14:9	<l></l>
i	handled 9:25	23:5, 6, 7 28:13,	impose 43:25	Investigation	lady 53:9
1	10:3	18 32:7, 25	imposed 48:12	7:21 13:12, 14	land 9:12
-		33:1 36:20 56:2	inches 15:14	14:8, 24 15:16	LANE 2:7 3:3
- 1	handling 10: <i>18</i>			1	
- 1	handling 10:18 11:21	l .	include 34:15	17:16 21:19	Δ.4.13 6.15
	11:21	HOUSE 1:4 6:3	include 34:15	17:16 21:19 36:10 18 37:8	4:4, 13 6:15
	11:21 handout 8:17	HOUSE 1:4 6:3 HP 19:18	52:13, 18	36:10, 18 37:8	19:15 32:19
	11:21 handout 8:17 17:13, 17	HOUSE 1:4 6:3 HP 19:18 human 11:19	52:13, 18 included 53:6, 7	36:10, 18 37:8 investigations	19: <i>15</i> 32: <i>19</i> 35: <i>24</i> 38: <i>4</i>
	11:21 handout 8:17 17:13, 17 happen 25:23	HOUSE 1:4 6:3 HP 19:18 human 11:19 15:2 16:1	52:13, 18 included 53:6, 7 includes 52:15	36:10, 18 37:8 investigations 12:19 18:5	19:15 32:19 35:24 38:4 40:8 43:18
	11:21 handout 8:17 17:13, 17	HOUSE 1:4 6:3 HP 19:18 human 11:19 15:2 16:1 18:14, 20 19:3,	52:13, 18 included 53:6, 7 includes 52:15 incurred 54:12	36:10, 18 37:8 investigations	19: <i>15</i> 32: <i>19</i> 35: <i>24</i> 38: <i>4</i>
	11:21 handout 8:17 17:13, 17 happen 25:23	HOUSE 1:4 6:3 HP 19:18 human 11:19 15:2 16:1	52:13, 18 included 53:6, 7 includes 52:15	36:10, 18 37:8 investigations 12:19 18:5	19:15 32:19 35:24 38:4 40:8 43:18
	11:21 handout 8:17 17:13, 17 happen 25:23 33:18	HOUSE 1:4 6:3 HP 19:18 human 11:19 15:2 16:1 18:14, 20 19:3,	52:13, 18 included 53:6, 7 includes 52:15 incurred 54:12 indicating 6:11	36:10, 18 37:8 investigations 12:19 18:5 investment 31:4 involved 12:20	19:15 32:19 35:24 38:4 40:8 43:18 45:4, 13, 20 47:24 49:1, 19
	11:21 handout 8:17 17:13, 17 happen 25:23 33:18 happened 22:14 56:22	HOUSE 1:4 6:3 HP 19:18 human 11:19 15:2 16:1 18:14, 20 19:3, 12 22:21, 25 26:21 28:7 32:9	52:13, 18 included 53:6, 7 includes 52:15 incurred 54:12 indicating 6:11 21:4, 18 27:24	36:10, 18 37:8 investigations 12:19 18:5 investment 31:4 involved 12:20 42:5	19:15 32:19 35:24 38:4 40:8 43:18 45:4, 13, 20 47:24 49:1, 19 50:19 52:4
	11:21 handout 8:17 17:13, 17 happen 25:23 33:18 happened 22:14 56:22 happens 22:5	HOUSE 1:4 6:3 HP 19:18 human 11:19 15:2 16:1 18:14, 20 19:3, 12 22:21, 25 26:21 28:7 32:9 hundred 16:23	52:13, 18 included 53:6, 7 includes 52:15 incurred 54:12 indicating 6:11 21:4, 18 27:24 33:1 40:9	36:10, 18 37:8 investigations 12:19 18:5 investment 31:4 involved 12:20 42:5 Involvement 2:5,	19:15 32:19 35:24 38:4 40:8 43:18 45:4, 13, 20 47:24 49:1, 19 50:19 52:4 54:16 56:12
	11:21 handout 8:17 17:13, 17 happen 25:23 33:18 happened 22:14 56:22	HOUSE 1:4 6:3 HP 19:18 human 11:19 15:2 16:1 18:14, 20 19:3, 12 22:21, 25 26:21 28:7 32:9	52:13, 18 included 53:6, 7 includes 52:15 incurred 54:12 indicating 6:11 21:4, 18 27:24	36:10, 18 37:8 investigations 12:19 18:5 investment 31:4 involved 12:20 42:5	19:15 32:19 35:24 38:4 40:8 43:18 45:4, 13, 20 47:24 49:1, 19 50:19 52:4

master 49:23

large 23:22
24:19
largest 58:13
late 56:24 law 21:11 50:6 lay 26:13
law 21: <i>11</i> 50:6
lay 26:13
layer 21:2 lays 32:1 lead 38:14, 20
lays 32:1
40: <i>14</i>
leading 27:16
28:11, 16 30:4
31:3
leaking 12:18
learn 44:18
left 7:1 23:25
lengthy 40:11
level 17:19, 24, 24 39:3 46:7
levels 13:10
17:9. 18 19:10
17:9, 18 19:10 20:23 46:20, 21
57-12
Library 6:4 35:3
light 9: <i>18</i> Linda 8: <i>8</i>
Linda 8:8
line 37: <i>11</i> 55: <i>4</i> lined 43: <i>23</i>
liquid 24:6
list 4:18 6:6
14:7, 7 17: <i>4</i> , 7
24:24 29:18
listed 36:9, 14
38:8 49:23
lists 17:7
liter 17:20 little 7:5 8:15 9:5, 10 10:15
9.5 10 10.15
11:7 12:20
11:7 12:20 14: <i>16</i> 17:2
20:7 30:18 31:7 33:24
31:7 33:24
35:13 36:4 45:21 46:8 52:6
45:21 46:8 52:6
located 4:15 8:16 10:21
location 9:11
33:5
logistics 6:25
31:22 long 7:4 12:24 13:25 27:3, 4
long 7:4 12:24
13:25 27:3, 4
29:7, 13, 19
34:1 55:10
longer 6:21 20:9
look 7:24 15:6
17:4 18:22
19: <i>1</i> 20: <i>6</i>
21:21, 22 24:5
25:16, 23 26:14

27:3, 3, 6, 7, 9 28:22 29:13, 15 30:1, 25 31:4, 8 33:25 34:18 44:10 46:2 49:18 55:10 56:6, 7 looked 18:25 19:3 24:4, 12, 15, 17 25:15 26:3, 5 51:7 looking 20:10 22:13 23:9 24:1 27:9, 13 46:12 47:10 48:23, 25 55:23 looks 14:9 22:2 42:14 47:20 48:9 Los 58:14 60:2 lot 8:9, 22 9:14 10:4, 7 12:21 13:9 15:14, 19, 25, 25 16:12, 17, 23 24:19 30:20 33:22 50:15 57:20 loudly 6:23 35:24 love 13:12 lovely 15:13 low 29:17 30:18 lower 22:23 23:8 28:14 30:24 31:11, 14 46:15, 20
40.75, 20
Ly 50:17
LYNDA 2:3
6:19 7:11, 13
< M > machine 9:13 mail 5:19, 19 mailing 4:18 6:6 major 43:6 majority 43:7 making 9:13 41:8
managed 8:21
management
10: <i>14</i> 57: <i>5</i>
Manager 2:3, 9 4:23 5:9 6:20
41:16
mandatory 32:4
mandatory 32:4 manner 7:17
24:21
manufacturing
10:4
map 8:17

mass 30:8

master 49:23
50:17, 18
material 12:22
21:20 23:2 24:10 48:5
materials 10:2
11.11 21.20
11: <i>11</i> 24:20 28: <i>1</i> 9 35:4 math 51: <i>18</i>
math 51:18
Matt 2:9 6:16
8:7
matter 10:18
maximum 17:9
mean 10:10
52:12
means 36:19
53:8
mechanism
11:15 47:25
medium 29:16.
medium 29:16, 20 30:5, 11 32:5
meet 11:5 21:10, 11, 13 26:20, 25 27:1, 2 28:6, 9, 10, 21
21:10, 11, 13
26:20, 25 27:1,
2 28:6, 9, 10, 21
29:11 32:3
34:20 40:25
MEETING 1:4
12:3, <i>4</i> 50:20
55:14 59:6 60:6
meetings 14:14
meets 17:24
32:4, 5, 6, 7, 8
member 6:16
memorializes
5:24
mentioned 6:24
13:21 14:16 16:8 23:5 30:20 32:15, 22
16:8 23:5
30:20 32:15, 22
48:13. 19
mess 6:13
met 8:10 21:12
39:11 48:15
metals 25:12
Metropolitan
52:1
mic 36:1
micrograms
17:20 migrate 13:6
migrate 13:6 migrated 43:7
migrating 32.7
migrating 32:7 migration 29:4
Mike 35:10 10
Mike 35:19, 19 mile 20:1 27:20
49:9
mileage 19: <i>17</i>
miles 9:2, 3
12:24, 25 17:23

```
mind 55:3
mini 19:18
minimum 47:5
minute 29:2
42:15 54:9
55:8 57:3
minutes 6:21
7:14
mismanaged
10:13
missed 42:24
mission 26:21
mixes 21:24
mobility 30:1
model 18:11, 11
money 58:8
month 15:13
move 18:9
19:11 20:8
22:23
moving 13:21,
23 20:9 28:19
32:11 34:19
36:12 49:4 55:9
multiple 24:23
25:11, 11
municipal 43:21,
25
municipalities
44:21
< N >
naive 45:6
name 4:13 5:15.
17 6:13 36:14,
17 45:15, 16
57:24 58:1
60:16
named 6:16
36:17
names 17:7
naming 11:22
National 14:7
natural 55:13
nature 12:21
near 10:24
11:12, 22 19:10
25:24 36:9
necessarily
40:17 50:4
necessary 47:5
need 30:16
33:8 37:7
38:10 42:5, 14,
19 44:13 47:14
49:16 57:13, 16
```

needed 10:24

24:3

million 18:2

31:6

```
needs 5:20
24:25 28:24, 24
29:2 32:16
35:17 40:25
57:21 58:20
negotiate 34:20
44:4 51:10
54:14
negotiated
42:10 51:1
52:24
negotiating 51:2
56:23, 23
neighborhood
35:5
Neither 28:10
60:12
new 6:16 48:23
50:3 51:13, 21
56:4
News 6:2
nine 26:15, 19
28:5 32:1 34:4
38:6
nonpermeable
21:2
normally 6:3
north 24:18
Northern 50:11
Norwalk 57:1
note 44:12
notes 60:11
notice 6:1
notification 17:9
number 9:7, 23
10:1 11:2
12:16 15:6, 9,
17 16:9 19:8
22:2 23:15
25:3, 6, 9, 10, 18
27:19 35:1
38:18 43:4
44:20, 25 51:5
53:5
< 0 >
objective 23:3
objectives 22:3,
```

official 52:20 offset 53:15 **OFR** 37:17 oh 8:11 oil 10:5 37:15, 16, 18 okay 39:16 40:8 43:2 44:22 45:20 46:9 53:22 old 37:23 **OMEGA** 1:6 4:6 6:12 7:18 8:15, 18, 23 9:10, 16, 20 10:2, 16, 17, 25 11:22, 22 12:8, 14 16:10 20:5, 25 21:17 23:21 36:7, 20, 21, 23 43:2, 3 54:13 Once 24:4 ones 15:10 16:13, 22 18:3 29:10 36:10 37:10 ongoing 31:4 48:10 online 15:13 34:17 35:5 oops 12:8 **OPEN** 1:4 Operable 4:9, 11. 23 8:20, 22, 23 9:1, 9 10:16, 18, 20 11:8, 11, 13, 18, 21, 24 12:7 14:10, 16 17:21, 22 18:13, 15 19:7, 8, 9, 12 22:9, 10, 14 23:11 43:10, 11 48:3 operated 8:24 9:16, 21 12:9 operating 13:3, 9 29:2 operation 31:4 operational 57:10 opinion 58:21 **OPOG** 16:8, 16 43:9 opportunities 20:16 55:11 opportunity 24:12, 17 option 50:8, 8, 13 options 28:21

order 12:16 29:3 34:22 47:15 orders 12:11 43:16 organic 25:12 organized 16:11 orientation 8:15 9:5 **OU** 42:3 OU1 8:22 13:1, 5, 5 14:20 48:1 58:11 OU2 8:22 11:1 13:8, 18 14:20 15:19 17:5, 12 19:6 20:10 21:17 37:11 48:2 58:11, 20 OU3 58:11, 19, 22, 23 outcome 39:3 60:14 outer 17:19, 21 outlines 12:6 outside 4:11 6:18, 25 17:24 52:17 55:22 overall 26:21 28:6 57:13 owner 12:14 owners 43:14 oxidation 48:18 < P > p.m 4:2 59:6 **PAGE** 3:2 paid 52:14 53:4. 11 54:6 paperwork 49:21 parcel 58:12 part 29:6 44:18, 24 45:21 51:8 53:2 58:12 particular 41:19 46:13 **parties** 12:16 16:9, 10, 11, 13 34:21, 22 40:19 42:11 43:1, 6, 8, 11, 12 51:3, 10 **parts** 10:5 17:20, 20 18:*1* 25:25 party 50:24 60:13 pass 23:18 Paul 56:14

pay 40:18

43:12, 12 54:1

payers 41:25

paying 42:24 53:10 pays 54:8 PCEs 58:17 peer 16:25 pending 29:7 people 5:13 6:9 13:25 20:16 45:2 percent 33:15 percentage 52:19 performed 16:1 Perina 2:9 3:6 6:17 35:21 52:15, 22 53:7, 12 54:7 period 5:2, 3, 7, 12 7:6 8:4 14:11 34:7 52:5 59:3 permanently 29:24 **permit** 39:23 40:17 personal 58:5 **phone** 35:1 phonetic 23:14 phonetically 45:17 Phuong 50:17 pick 7:25 17:13 picked 34:3 picture 31:14 pipe 31:23 pipeline 33:13 pipes 35:15, 20 piping 35:14, 23 place 60:7 plan 4:8, 10, 20 8:2 13:17 15:11, 12 27:13, 14 39:10 52:23 58:22 planned 14:19 **plans** 5:24 plant 11:22 33:5 planting 51:14 plater 36:22 play 39:25 plays 41:2 please 12:3 58:8 plume 8:18 9:1, 7 11:3, 3 13:*19*, 20, 23 14:21, 25 15:8 16:*4* 17:*5*, 22 18:1, 13, 21 20:1, 8, 10 21:17 23:11, 22, 24 26:4, 4, 6, 7,

9, 10, 11, 12 27:17, 19, 20 28:12 29:10, 23, 25 30:6, 9 32:22 34:13, 19 36:6, 23 37:14 49:4, 14 55:8, 13 56:16, 20, 20 57:15 point 36:16 38:23 39:5 40:6, 16 44:5 51:8 56:24 58:18 policies 46:6 policy 39:21, 21 polluted 37:11 poor 10:14 populations 19:2 portion 4:9 53:10, 12 possibility 49:15 possible 18:25 40:4 44:12 45:11 possibly 54:23 poster 11:14 28:2, 2 32:21 posters 11:25 postmarked 5:20 potential 18:23 19:4, 13 20:13, 15 32:16 42:17 **Potentially** 16:11, 12 32:12 42:19 43:8, 10 52:1 **Powerine** 37:23, 24 **PPB** 17:24 practices 10:14 preferred 7:23, 25 15:11 22:6, 8 28:20 34:4 38:8 premature 57:20 Presbyterian 58:14 Present 2:9 22:8 **PRESENTATION** 1:7 4:8, 21, 24 5:6, 10 6:21 7:2, 4, 11 35:8, 9 presented 35:12 presumably 45.9 presumption 35:21, 22 pretty 29:23 31:20 48:9

preventative 10:1 primarily 9:11 10:3 Priorities 14:7 private 34:21 40:19 42:9 44:1, 21 probability 44:9 probably 15:14 33:17 35:5 37:8 44:11, 22 45:6 process 13:24 18:4 23:25 26:16 34:1, 2, 8 38:11 39:22 40:10, 11, 11, 14, 22 41:6, 9, 10 42:5 44:19 48:14, 16 51:9, 9 56:23, 23 57:20 processed 10:11 processes 10:4 14:2 40:6 48:25 processing 10:6 produced 50:2, 7 producing 46:22 production 37:15 53:16 54:10 prohibitive 55:19 **Project** 2:3, 9 4:23 5:9 6:20, 20 41:16 57:23 promising 15:10 21:25 properly 10:2 properties 18:19 property 4:12 10:25 proposal 13:18 57:9 proposed 4:8. 10, 20 8:2 13:*17* 15:*11* 27:13, 14 39:10 57:12 proposing 22:17, 18 29:1 57:3, 5 protect 22:25 protecting 47:17 Protection 2:2 28:7 protective 26:21 28:17 32:9 47:18 protects 32:10 proven 48:23, 24

provide 44:20 45:3 providers 42:3 **PRP** 16:11 50:25 **PRPs** 54:13 **PUBLIC** 1:4, 7 6:4 8:4 14:11, 14 34:16 35:3, *10* 39:2 41:*13*, 20, 22 42:3 44:19 54:16 56:12 58:24 60:6 PUC 42:4 **pulled** 26:1 pulling 30:6 pump 13:2 14:17 23:17 24:23 32:23, 24 33:2 37:22 53:5 55:7 pumped 33:4, 4 50:4 pumping 26:6 27:18 28:17 30:11 33:10 pumps 35:14 purchased 51:6 purpose 13:4, 10 purposes 11:21 17:11 pursuing 12:14 purveyor 40:16, 23 44:13 53:13, 15 54:14 purveyors 33:20 44:21 53:24 56:25 57:21 pushing 14:4 put 12:1 16:6, 7 23:23 28:2 31:10 39:7 40:21 46:10 51:17 54:1 57:5 58:17 **Putnam** 20:5 putting 26:6, 7 < Q > Quality 15:18, 23 16:24 37:6

< Q > Quality 15:18, 23 16:24 37:6 39:1 42:2 question 19:15 20:7 36:2 39:13 40:15 41:11 42:23 43:24 45:5, 6, 24 46:1 47:2, 24 48:8 49:3,

13, 13

47:22

redundancy

refer 56:22

19 53:9 54:22 56:11 questions 5:6, 9, 11 6:7, 23 7:3, 5, 9 19:14 36:3 38:4 43:18 49:1 52:9 56:12, 17 59:2 quick 15:15 42:23 quite 9:6

auick 15:15 quite 9:6 <R> rain 50:10 raise 5:13 rank 29:16 30:23 ranked 28:19 30:11 rate 41:25 55:8 57:3 rates 41:24, 25 reach 49:5 reached 54:13 reactive 23:23 read 12:1 17:3 27:25 33:24 42:24 49:21 really 32:3 39:8 47:14 52:7 reason 20:20 reasonable 42:15 receive 34:10, 16 received 5:18, 19 8:2 27:12 receptors 19:1 20:18 recipients 19:5 reclaimed 24:14 26:10 27:21 28:22 30:9, 18 31:6 45:8 reclamation 37:17 recognize 58:11, record 4:17, 25 5:5, 23 14:12 34:14, 15 52:7 recorded 34:6 recovery 9:17 41:25 recycling 9:22 reduce 27:5. 6 reducing 30:1, 3,

reformulation 9:23 refrigerants 10:8 regardless 55:5 **REGION** 2:3 57:22 regional 4:14 15:18, 23 37:6 39:1 regular 57:8 regulated 48:15 regulates 41:24 regulations 38:16 39:19 regulatory 26:23 31:12, 12, 16 reinjecting 24:15, 16 27:22 31:17 45:10 reinjection 26:11 29:11 31:8, 8 46:5, 9 reject 52:16 related 12:7 60:13 relative 19:4 58:19 released 10:13 14:22 24:20 relevance 26:23 rely 38:25 Remedial 2:3 6:20, 20 7:20 13:12, 14 14:8, 15 17:16 21:19 22:3 36:9 remedies 39:25 44:25 remedy 13:19 14:13, 18 22:16, 16 34:11, 18 39:11, 12 40:20 41:4 42:11 43:12 50:25 51:3 53:1, 17 54:10, 12, 24 55:1, 3, 4, 10, 15 56:8 remember 59:2 remove 10:5 12:16 13:13 16:9 25:*4*, *11* 46:20 48:20 removed 13:9 removing 30:7 **replace** 51:16 replaced 50:5, 9, 10 51:25 Replenishment 49:23 50:5, 16

53:4, 20, 21 54:1, 5, 8, 11 replicates 16:24 reported 35:11 reporter 5:5 6:24 35:25 repositories 34:17 represent 57:25 representation 39:9 representing 5:16 41:16 request 5:2 require 10:4 24:23, 23 31:18 required 5:4 25:19 40:21 requirement 32:17 39:21 requirements 26:24 28:9, 10 29:11 31:16 32:4 38:15 39:24 41:1 46:3, 5, 12 requiring 46:7 research 15:20 16:5 researched 56:3 residential 20:11 residents 43:13 resistance 44:16 resolve 39:5 Resource 50:19 Resources 49:25 respond 19:3 responded 34:6 response 34:15 44:12 Responsible 16:11, 12 40:13 42:4, 11 43:1, 8, *11* 51:9 responsiveness 5:22 restoration 13:19 55:10 56:8 **restore** 55:13 result 37:2 52:18 results 12:23 return 24:21 33:15 50:14 returning 51:22 reuse 32:14 reused 32:17 reusing 24:10 review 14:25

59:4 reviewed 16:25 reviewing 34:2 **reviews** 15:17 56:2 RI 7:20, 20, 24 13:14 14:22 22:9 26:18 34:2 35:2 36:15, 15 38:2 right 8:14, 24 10:24 11:13 21:7 28:22 30:21 40:3, 3 45:2 51:2*4* 52:25 53:24 58:15, 18 rights 49:22 50:22 51:1, 5, 11, 22 53:2, 13, 14 54:4, 5, 8 rings 35:1 risk 11:20 15:2, 3 16:2 18:14, 20 19:3, 5, 12 20:19 47:18 **River** 49:6 50:12 room 6:10 round 30:23 ROWLEY 3:6 56:14, 14 **RPM** 2:9 **RPMs** 8:8 rule 42:4 rules 38:16 run 35:20 running 30:17 runs 49:6, 10, 13 < S >

Salazar 2:9 6:16 8:7 salts 25:12 sampled 16:18, **samples** 15:17 18:7 56:*1* sampling 14:24 15:20 55:25 **San** 2:5, 8 4:15 49:6 **Santa** 37:16 57:1 satisfies 40:25 47:17, 18 saturated 20:9 saw 24:24 32:2 58:16

51:25 52:13, 15

25:25
saying 35:25
39:14, 15 46:14
47:3 49:5
says 28:9 33:14
Schauffler 2:9
3:6 6:10 38:19
39:17 40:3, 15
44:7, 18 45:25
47:1, 6, 9
scope 16:6
screened 21:4, 7
24:2
search 55:22
second 23:3
secondary 30:7
Section 2:9
6:11, 11 36:15
38:2
see 5:8 8:1, 20
9:6 18:8 25:3
29:14 33:12
39:7, 12 57:13
seeing 6:16
seen 8:11
select 14:13
selected 5:24
selecting 55:3
selection 39:11,
12 54:24
selects 15:11
22:6 50:25
semi 21:2
send 6:5
sent 52:16, 20
separate 35:10
separates 21:2
September 60:16
Services 12:10
session 7:9
set 27:2 60:7
setting 42:12
settle 34:22
settlement 16:9
settlements
16:17 43:16
settling 16:10
sewer 52:20
SFD 2:4, 8
sharing 50:22
sheet 4:20, 22
6:5 8:1 17:3, <i>4</i> ,
17 28:1, 4
34:24 35:7
shop 9:13, 19
short 27:6
29:15 30:15
shorthand 60:8,
11
show 12:6 18:7 20:6 38:2

```
showed 31:14
46:18 52:12
shown 55:24
shows 8:18
17:9, 11, 18, 25
shut 29:1
side 28:5
sign 4:16 34:14
significant 20:19
significantly
54:20
Similiar 25:1
single 35:16
sink 25:2
Sir 52:4
sister 39:1, 16
SITE 1:6 4:7,
10, 14 5:25 6:3,
12, 15 7:17, 19
8:16, 21, 24
9:10 10:16, 17
12:7, 9, 12, 17
13:16 14:2, 5,
23 15:8 18:9
19:12 20:5, 8
24:3 25:5 35:6
38:19 43:7
51:10 56:5
sites 10:18
15:19, 19 23:20
26:17 41:5
48:14 55:24
site's 6:6
situ 23:20 24:1
situation 18:12
21:23 22:1
24:22
six 25:16, 17
27:15 28:4
30:25 31:25
size 23:24
skin 18:23
skipped 28:15
slide 16:7 17:2
34:24
small 22:11
23:22 52:19
snapshot 17:2
soil 10:23
14:20, 20 16:1
soils 11:14
19:11 20:9
22:10
solids 33:11
52:19
solution 57:12
solvent 9:16
solvents 10:4, 5,
7 36:25, 25
46:21
```

```
somebody
28:24 29:5, 8
44:8 51:4 56:4
somewhat 41:1
sophisticated
48:9, 11
sorry 12:8 58:6
sort 21:8 40:16
53:8
source 8:20
11:17 13:1
36:13 37:2, 3,
13, 20, 21 38:1
39:20, 23 50:3
51:14 55:12
56:7, 8
sources 16:3, 4
36:5 54:19 55:5
south 32:25
33:1 49:10, 13
southeast 58:14
Southern 24:6
42:18
southwest 49:9
space 46:10
speak 6:23
35:24
SPEAKER 3:2
6:9 19:16, 23
20:2 35:14
36:4 37:23
38:5 39:13
40:1, 7, 10
41:11, 15, 19, 22
42:6, 14, 22, 23
43:2, 13, 19
44:15 45:5, 16,
22 46:24 47:3,
7, 25, 48:8, 49:3,
9, 20 50:18
51:12, 16, 24
52:11, 21 53:3,
8, 19 54:17
55:1, 17 56:10
speaking 58:4
species 20:19
27:1
spell 5:16
spend 58:8
spilled 10:11
spills 36:19, 21
spot 28:19
32:25 33:1 56:3
spots 23:5, 6, 7
28:13 32:7
36:20
spread 12:22
22:22
spreading 23:3,
6, 12 24:18, 19
```

```
28:13 29:12
31:20 32:8
34:19 50:14
55:4
Springs 37:16
57:1
ss 60:1
stage 39:10
stake 39:3
stakeholders
37:5
stamping 9:13
standard 17:11
46:13 47:17, 22
standards 11:6
17:24 21:12, 12,
13, 17 25:8
26:2 31:16
45:8, 10, 12
46:18, 23 47:11,
13, 19 48:15
55:7, 14
stands 7:20
start 7:11 14:2
52:10
started 9:15
12:14
starting 14:18
21:7
starts 21:3
53:17 56:4
state 5:15
12:18 14:3
15:21 16:22
21:11 27:10, 12
37:4 38:6, 7, 7,
15, 15, 21, 22, 24
39:3, 6, 8, 9, 11,
12 41:12, 23, 25
49:24 56:14
57:24 60:1
stay 7:8
step 38:11
39:22
steps 8:3 22:2
Steve 2:9 8:8
44:5 45:16
Steven 6:12
sticky 40:16
stop 29:3 32:11
stored 35:4
storm 52:2
straight 7:7
strategy 21:25
Street 2:4, 7
stripper 48:4
studies 7:21
12:21 18:5
Study 13:12, 15
14:9 15:5
21:21 22:7
```

23:17 25:16 57:11 stuff 46:8 **submit** 34:25 subscribed 60:15 Substance 15:23 **Substances** 8:12 10:9 12:14 37:5 successful 30:22 57:22 succinct 7:16 sufficient 29:8 47:17 summarizes 6:5 summary 5:22 7:19 15:15 SUPERFUND 1:6 4:7 13:24 14:1, 7 26:16 38:19 41:5 43:16 supervision 60:9 supply 11:4, 5 39:20, 24 41:10 43:22 support 38:22 56:19 57:13, 14 supporting 38:21 sure 4:16, 18 16:24 22:20 23:4 25:6 30:10 37:1 40:24, 24 41:8 45:16 47:1, 15, 23 49:16 57:7 surface 18:16, 17 **system** 9:17 13:2, *4* 14:*15*, 15, 17 23:18 28:25 29:1, 2, 3, 5 33:7, 16 35:17 40:13 43:22 44:1 47:22 48:4, 6, 9, 11, 22 systems 21:14 23:19 25:5 33:12 47:21 < T > table 21:1 31:5 take 6:8 20:6 23:18 26:14

26:12 27:23

F0.00
58:23
taken 60:6, 7, 11
takers 43:25 takes 7:4 13:25
takes 7:4 13:25
15.6 20:10
15:6 30:19
1 31:25 41:6.6
talk 7:23 8:3 11:7, 9, 10, 19 12:4, 8, 20 38:2,
11.7 9 10 19
12:4 8 20 38:2
05 00.5 40.46
25 39:5 49:16
talked 33:19
38:6 39:17, 18
40:3 42:8
43:20, 22
talker 7:15
talking 9:6
talking 9:6 13:20 14:21
23:24 25:4
40.6 E0.04
48:6 50:21
54:2 58:11, 19
talks 43:19 tap 20:17
tap 20:17
top 20:77
team 6:16 8:6
55:22
tech 46:21
technologies
22:4 23:10
22.4 23.10
24:2, 24 25:9,
11 46:19
technology
21:23 25:1
47:10 48:23
l
tell 38:11, 23
tell 38: <i>11</i> , <i>23</i> ten 19: <i>21</i>
ten 19: <i>21</i>
ten 19:21 tend 7:15
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13,
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13,
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11,
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12 54:15 56:10
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12 54:15 56:10 58:1, 23, 25 59:4
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12 54:15 56:10 58:1, 23, 25 59:4 thereof 60:14
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12 54:15 56:10 58:1, 23, 25 59:4 thereof 60:14 thick 15:13
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12 54:15 56:10 58:1, 23, 25 59:4 thereof 60:14 thick 15:13
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12 54:15 56:10 58:1, 23, 25 59:4 thereof 60:14 thick 15:13
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12 54:15 56:10 58:1, 23, 25 59:4 thereof 60:14 thick 15:13 thing 13:24 46:17 55:2
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12 54:15 56:10 58:1, 23, 25 59:4 thereof 60:14 thick 15:13 thing 13:24 46:17 55:2 58:21
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12 54:15 56:10 58:1, 23, 25 59:4 thereof 60:14 thick 15:13 thing 13:24 46:17 55:2 58:21
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12 54:15 56:10 58:1, 23, 25 59:4 thereof 60:14 thick 15:13 thing 13:24 46:17 55:2 58:21
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12 54:15 56:10 58:1, 23, 25 59:4 thereof 60:14 thick 15:13 thing 13:24 46:17 55:2 58:21
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12 54:15 56:10 58:1, 23, 25 59:4 thereof 60:14 thick 15:13 thing 13:24 46:17 55:2 58:21 things 23:21, 23 25:6 35:11 46:6 56:24 think 8:10 14
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12 54:15 56:10 58:1, 23, 25 59:4 thereof 60:14 thick 15:13 thing 13:24 46:17 55:2 58:21 things 23:21, 23 25:6 35:11 46:6 56:24 think 8:10 14
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12 54:15 56:10 58:1, 23, 25 59:4 thereof 60:14 thick 15:13 thing 13:24 46:17 55:2 58:21 things 23:21, 23 25:6 35:11 46:6 56:24 think 8:10, 14 30:12 37:12, 12
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12 54:15 56:10 58:1, 23, 25 59:4 thereof 60:14 thick 15:13 thing 13:24 46:17 55:2 58:21 things 23:21, 23 25:6 35:11 46:6 56:24 think 8:10, 14 30:12 37:12, 12 38:24 41:18
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12 54:15 56:10 58:1, 23, 25 59:4 thereof 60:14 thick 15:13 thing 13:24 46:17 55:2 58:21 things 23:21, 23 25:6 35:11 46:6 56:24 think 8:10, 14 30:12 37:12, 12 38:24 41:18 44:4, 7, 15, 22
ten 19:21 tend 7:15 term 8:21 16:12 27:4, 6 29:13, 15, 19 30:15 55:10 terms 39:25 41:12 44:9 47:16, 18 tested 20:11 testing 16:1, 1 thank 4:5 7:11, 13 42:22 51:12 54:15 56:10 58:1, 23, 25 59:4 thereof 60:14 thick 15:13 thing 13:24 46:17 55:2 58:21 things 23:21, 23 25:6 35:11 46:6 56:24 think 8:10, 14 30:12 37:12, 12 38:24 41:18

```
49:16 51:21
52:4 53:9 56:5,
16 57:15 58:20
thinking 54:7
Thirty 32:19
three 10:18
27:19 30:12
33:3
threshold 28:21
32:4
tied 54:5
time 4:5 5:10,
15 6:8, 17 7:3
17:10 29:6
30:11 32:18
33:6 35:2 37:9
40:2 41:6
42:12 51:1
59:1 60:7
timely 7:16
times 28:25
timetable 58:23
tip 25:25
today 4:8 6:10,
21 56:4
toe 25:24, 25
26:7 27:17
28:17 29:21
30:6 33:2
told 14:2 27:14
Tom 2:9 6:17
53:19
tonight 4:6, 17,
21 5:5, 18 7:17,
23 8:7, 22 11:7,
24 13:17, 20
14:10, 21 15:1
22:8, 13, 18
27:10, 13 34:5,
9, 23 35:18
41:17 54:16
56:13, 16, 17
58:20, 25 59:5
tons 10:9, 10, 11
top 4:21 28:4
total 31:6
touch 4:23
town 6:4
Toxic 8:12
12:13 15:22
37:5
toxicity 27:5
30:1, 14
trace 46:11
train 48:7, 21
transcribed 60:8
transcript 60:10,
treat 13:2 14:17
23:17 24:23
32:24 36:24
```

```
37:1 46:7, 12,
20 47:12, 21
55:6, 7, 19
treated 21:16
26:1 43:20 44:2
treating 26:8
45:1
treatment 21:10,
14 23:18 24:2.
4, 24 25:5
31:19 33:5
35:15 46:19
47:21, 25 48:21.
24
treatments
23:21 25:11.14
tried 4:16 11:9
13:13
true 50:14 60:10
try 4:17 7:14
35:10 44:8
52:5, 7
trying 22:17, 19
28:11 31:22
35:25
TUESDAY 4:1
twice 16:18
two 15:21 18:2
20:23 26:19
27:9 28:6, 20
29:12 32:19
type 25:1
types 21:22
35:20
typewriting 60:9
typical 19:4
typically 21:4
35:20 46:20
< U >
U.S 2:3
ultimate 47:23
ultimately 14:15
23:4 34:14, 18
underneath
18:19
understand 20:7
41:8 46:17
47:1 52:21
53:3 57:14
understanding
49:22 51:20
Understood 40:7
Unfortunately
10:12
UNIDENTIFIED
19:16, 23 20:2
35:14 36:4
37:23 38:5
39:13 40:1, 7,
```

```
22 42:6, 14, 22,
23 43:2, 13, 19
44:15 45:5, 16,
22 46:24 47:3,
7, 25 48:8 49:3,
9, 20 50:18
51:12, 16, 24
52:11, 21 53:3,
8, 19 54:17
55:1, 17 56:10
Unit 4:9, 11, 24
8:20, 22, 23 9:1,
9 10:20 11:8,
12, 13, 19, 21, 24
12:7 14:10, 16
17:21, 22 18:13,
15 19:7, 8, 9, 12,
16 22:9, 10, 14
23:11 43:10, 11
48:1, 3
units 10:17, 19
unusual 48:24
upgradient 56:6
upper 20:25
21:17
uptown 58:8
usage 53:2
use 16:12
24:14 25:20
26:10, 11 28:22
30:5 31:3, 24
34:21 38:9, 23
39:19, 23 44:11
45:11 46:3
48:1, 1 50:7, 13
51:18, 23 52:20,
23
user 40:23 42:6
uses 9:15 24:9
25:10 27:21
usual 46:24
usually 47:4
Utilities 41:14
42:3
Utility 41:20, 22
57:8
utilizing 54:4
< V >
Vadose 10:22
validated 17:1
vapor 11:15, 18
19:5, 8, 13 20:10
vaporize 18:24
varied 30:17
various 7:24
9:18 16:16
21:24 33:12
34:17 38:1 56:1
venues 46:2
verbal 4:25 52:5
```

versus 19:25 38:16 Victor 58:2 viewed 50:2 VINATIERI 3:6 58:1.1 volume 27:6 29:14 30:2, 13 voluntary 43:24 vulnerable 19:2 < W > wait 28:15 walk 28:3 walled 35:16, 16, 21, 23 walls 23:23 want 4:4 6:23 7:19 10:15 19:14 22:11, 21, 22, 23 23:2, 4, 6, 6, 7, 12 28:13, 24 29:3, 9 31:9 35:11 40:24 58:25 wanted 5:1 38:5 44:10 58:10 WASHINGTON 1:10 20:2, 4, 5 waste 9:24 33:8, 12, 13, 14 43:5, 7 water 7:1 11:4, 5, 5, 14 14:18. 20 15:18, 23 17:11 18:17, 18, 19 20:16, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25 21:3, 5, 12, 12, 13, 15, 16, 16 22:11 23:1, 18 24:6, 6, 7, 8, 8, 10, 13, 13, 14, 14, 19 25:8 26:1, 2, 10, 13 27:1, 17, 18, 21, 23 28:14, 16, 22, 23, 24, 25 29:5, 9, 12 30:4, 10, 19, 20, 23 31:3, 6, 10, 15, 23, 24 32:10, 14, 15, 16 33:4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 15, 15, 16, 16, 17, 19, 23 37:6, 22 38:9 39:1, 20, 20, 24 40:12, 13, 16, 23, 24 41:10, 24, 24 42:2, 7, 9, 13, 19, 20, 21

10 41:11, 15, 19,

43:21, 21, 24, 25

11.1 1 2 0 10	55:21	1	!	1	
44:1, 1, 2, 8, 10,					
11, 12, 13, 16, 17,	WHEREOF 60:15				
20, 24 45:1, 1, 8,	Whispering				
10, 12 46:3, 8,	20:12		•	ļ	
14, 18, 22, 22	WHITTIER 1:9,				
47:4, 5, 11, 13,	11 4:1 6:1, 4				!
13, 22 48:15, 24	8:24 19:19				
49:22, 22, 23, 24	20:2, 3 58:3, 4,				
50:2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8,	6, 13, 18				
9, 11, 16, 17, 18,	wide 9:3 12:25				
	1				
19, 22 51:1, 4,	26:4, 9, 10, 11,				•
10, 14, 14, 17, 21,	12 29:10, 23				
22, 22, 24, 25	30:9 32:22				
52:1, 1, 2, 14, 19,	wider 22:23				
23, 25, 25, 25	willing 34:22				
53:2, 5, 12, 13,	40:12				
13, 14, 14, 19, 21,	WITNESS 60:15				
23, 24, 25 54:1,	wondering	•			
4, 5, 8, 11 55:6,	19:16 49:3, 14				
6, 19 56:15	work 5:13 8:9				
	14:19 16:3				
57:1, 2, 5, 6, 7					
way 13:16	18:12 34:19				
14:23 20: <i>4</i>	40:18, 19, 20				
29:24 35:6	41:7 45:1, 2				
45:18 46:3	51: <i>4</i> 55: <i>11</i>			•	
60: <i>13</i>	56:25, 25 57:1,				
ways 23:20	6, 22				
26:8 51:5	worked 15:18				
Web 35:6	23:15 41:3				
week 50:21	working 44:22				
weeks 14:19	57:18, 19				
welcome 58:6	works 41:9				
well 7:1 10:22	writing 34:9				
16:23 20:21	written 5:20				
1	1				
21:10, 14 22:24	59:4				
24:5 25:4 26:3,					
6 28:8 29:11	< Y >				
30:2 32:11	Yeah 19:23				
35: <i>5</i> , <i>17</i> 37: <i>16</i> ,	42:16 45:22		,		
22 38:14 41:24	48:16 49:3				
43:3 47:9	year 9:4 13:3,				
48:13 58:15, 25	22 16:18 29:4				
wells 11:4, 5	30:23 49:4				
16:8, 17, 17, 23	52:3, 14				
21:6, 7 23:1, 11	years 9:20				
26:7 27:20	10:12 15:15				
32:10, 13, 24	10112				
35:15 50:2	< Z >				
56:15	ZAIRA 60:4, 20				
we're 11:21	zero 44:9				
4	l .				
22:13, 18 34:4,	zone 10:23			I .	
5, 12 46:2, 15	zones 18:1				
48:23	23:23				
wetland 24:20			•		
we've 16:21					
21:24 23:25					
25:6, 7 26:17,					
18, 18 34:1, 2, 3					
37:12 41:5, 5,					
18 44:7 51:7		,			
10 17.7 01.7					
l .					